Gender Disparity in Education Attainment:

Analysis at the Districts and Towns Level of Punjab



Gender Disparity in Education Attainment:

Analysis at the Districts and Towns Level of Punjab

by

Muhammad Imran Research Fellow/Head of Think-Tank Wing Shahid Javed Burki Institute of Public Policy (BIPP)



About Shahid Javed Burki Institute of Public Policy

The Shahid Javed Burki Institute of Public Policy at NetSol (BIPP) is an independent, not for profit institution which aims at: promoting rights and evidence based, citizens-peoplecentered inclusive policy making; filling the institutional void; professionalizing the key public policy areas; and, serving as a knowledge hub for policy analysis, development and implementation. The public policy and planning processes in Pakistan have been characterized generally by huge rationality deficit primarily owing to: the institutional fragmentation, a lack of serious analytical work, exclusivity and weak systemic nexus between education, research and policy formulation.

BIPP, endeavors to minimize this rationality deficit and produce policy solutions for tangible welfare gains for the citizenry. Its Board of Governors comprises eminent experts, economists, academia and development practitioners from private, public and non - governmental sectors. As such, BIPP represents a unique blend of expertise to enrich both upstream policy process and downstream implementation.

Mission

BIPP's mission is to improve the welfare of the citizenry with particular emphasis on identifying policy measures that will lead to inclusive, people-centered growth with equity, political stability and sustainable development besides fully harnessing the potential for regional and global integration of the country. BIPP primary areas of interest encompass social, economic, environmental and political development and security, trade and foreign policy related issues. The Institute aims at synergizing the research, education and think tank functions to become a knowledge hub and Centre of Excellence in Public Policy.

Our Strategic Focus

Research and consultancy: Present neutral, independent and objective analyses and solutions to major policy issues; and Undertake research projects and consultancy work.

Think Tank: Contribute to debate on public policy issues and undertake a strong advocacy role on issues of vital significance; and through intense study and interaction, provide strategic analysis and policy prescriptions of the concepts and doctrines in selected areas of public policy.

Education and Learning: Formulate and conduct education and training courses in the field of public policy and leadership; and enhance capacity and competency for public policy development and implementation

Knowledge Management: Collate, generate, store, exchange and share knowledge, publications, knowledge products, best practices etc.; Facilitate knowledge transfer between research institutes and relevant stakeholders; Produce publications, journals, and analytical notes on public policy.



About the Author

Muhammad Imran Research Fellow/Head of Think-Tank Wing Shahid Javed Burki Institute of Public Policy (BIPP)

The author has extensive experience in research. His research work focuses on issues of growth, macroeconomic developments, energy sector and the social dimensions of economic development. He has published several research papers and reports. His current research interest is on the energy sector of Pakistan. He has a master in Public Policy from the Beaconhouse National University and Master in Economics from the Government College University Lahore.

The author can be reached through the: Email: imran.muhamd@gmail.com Office: 042-35913304

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank UNICEF and Government Punjab of for the provision of Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey – Round 4 (MICS4). The primary data from the survey form the basis of the all calculations done in the report. I would also like to thank my colleagues Imtiaz Ahmed, Najaf Zahra and Atr-un-Nisa for their invaluable comments on the report.

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1. Preamble	5
2. About Punjab	8
PART 1: Measuring Mean Years of Schooling and Gender Parity Index	13
3. Methodology	14
4. Measurement and Analyses	17
PART 2: Education Determinants and Recommendations	26
5. Determinants of Mean Years of Schooling	27
6. Recommendations and Strategies	36
PART 3: District Education Profiles	39
Complete Lists of Districts and Towns by Mean Years of Schooling and Gender Parity Index	40
Data Sources and Glossary	45
List of Districts	46

References

83

Acronyms

ADB	Asian Development Bank
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GPI	Gender Parity Index
GRP	Gross Regional Product
HDR	Human Development Report
HIES	Household Integrated Economic Survey
IPP	Institute of Public Policy
КРК	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
LFS	Labor Force Survey
MICS	Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey
MYS	Mean Years of Schooling
NER	Net Attendance Rate
PBS	Pakistan Bureau of Statistics
PSLM	Pakistan Social and Living Standards Measurement
PuBS	Punjab Bureau of Statistics
SPDC	Social Policy and Development Center
UIS	Institute for Statistics
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
WB	World Bank
WEF	World Economic Forum

Executive Summary

Human capital is an important determinant of growth and development of an economy and the welfare of people. Countries with large endowments of human capital are today's advanced countries. People of these countries are having happy and prosperous lives. The state of human capital in Pakistan is one of the lowest in the world. The years of schooling (one of the dimensions of human capital) of a person in Pakistan is only 4.7 years in 2013. Similar is the situation of people's health. A Pakistani is expected to live 67 years on average, far less than many developing countries. The situation can also be realized from Pakistan's poor performance in the Human Capital Index-the latest index on measuring human capital. Pakistan ranked at 112 out of total 122 countries.

Education and health are foremost constituents and form the base of human capital. Estimates of education attainment (measured by years of schooling) and health (measured by longevity of life) in Pakistan are available at the national level which are calculated and maintained by the international organizations. Only Social Policy and Development Center (SPDC) estimates mean years of schooling (MYS) at the provincial level. *This research report for the first time in Pakistan attempts to calculate one dimension of human capital (through MYS) for both males and females at the districts and towns level of Punjab province. Along with, the report also calculates the gender disparity index of education attainment.* These two calculations *are the main focus of the report which further complimented by a number of other important indicators and determinants that impact the education attainment.* These complimentary *calculations are given in district education profile pages in Part 3 of the report.*

This research report has four complimentary objectives. First, to calculate the stock of human capital by towns and districts of Punjab. This has been done based on the largest data set for the province. This objective fills the knowledge gap on level of human capital at the local level. Second, to describe the extent of gender disparities in education attainment that persist in Punjab. The third objective is to identify the main factors that impact the stock of human capital and cause gender disparities across the districts. The fourth objective is to review the education policies of the Punjab government related to education sector and suggest new policy directions.

Main Findings of the Report

Estimate of years of schooling for Punjab comes out to be 4.5 years. Males' mean years of schooling is 5.7 and females' mean years of schooling is 3.3. These findings are quite close to the SPDC's estimates of MYS of 4.6 years.

Districts Level

 Lahore has the highest years of schooling of 6.5 years. The MYS of males is 7.2 years and females is 5.7 years

- Top 10 districts with MYS are: Rawalpindi, 6.4; Chakwal, 5.6; Jhelum, 5.5; Gujrat, 5.4; Sialkot, 5.2; Gujranwala, 5.2; Faisalabad, 5.1; Narowal, 5.1 and Mandi Bahaudin, 4.9. Most of these districts are located in the Central or North regions of Punjab and has a relatively higher share of population living in the urban areas.
- Rajanpur has least years of schooling of only 2.9 years. Other districts with low MYS are: Lodhran, 3.1; Bhakkar, 3.3; Chiniot, 3.4; Muzaffargarh, 3.4; Bahawalpur, 3.5; Pakpattan, 3.5; Jhang, 3.6 and Kasur, 3.6. The people of these districts are mostly poor, higher proportion of population resides in rural and underdeveloped areas, and are located in the South Punjab.
- The results of MYS become shocking when calculated separately for males and females. The district with highest MYS for males is Rawalpindi with a score of 8.0 years and for females is Lahore with a score of 5.7 years.
- On the other hand, district with lowest MYS of 4.0 years for males is Rajanpur and for females is Lodhran with a MYS of 1.6 years only.
- The difference between the top MYS of males and females at the district level is 2.3 years which is quite a lot and gives an initial indication of large gender disparities in attaining the education.
- Only 9 districts has MYS above the provincial average, 3 districts have same MYS and 24 districts have MYS less than the provincial average.
- The number of districts with MYS below provincial average increases to 34 in case of females while in case of males only 2 districts' MYS is less than provincial average.
- The analyses of MYS by districts and towns reveal a very gloomy picture of the human capital stock in Punjab. It is not only low but there are large variations across districts which become huge when males and females are consider separately.
- The results of gender disparity index reveal that none of the districts has overcome gender disparities in education.
- Only 1 out of 36 districts is able to cover more than three-fourth of gender disparity; 24 districts have covered disparities between 50 to 75 percent and the rest less than 50 percent.
- The highest disparity among districts is in Lodhran with a GPI score of 0.353. As you will see Lodhran is not the district with lowest level of MYS rather it is Rajanpur, however, females in Lodhran have far less access to education.

Towns Level

- Compare to districts, the town with highest MYS of 9.5 years is Samanabad. It is also the town with highest MYS for males of 10.1 years and females of 8.8 years.
- 6 out of the top 10 towns with MYS are from Lahore.
- Rojhan has the least years of schooling of 1.5 years only. Also males and females of this town has the least MYS of 2.5 and 0.5 years respectively.
- 71 out of the 150 towns have MYS below the provincial average. The number of towns with less than provincial average goes up to 122 in case of females while in case of males there are only 13 towns with lower MYS than the provincial average.

• Only 13 out of 150 towns has GPI score above of 0.750, less than 9 percent of all towns; 86 towns have GPI score between 0.500 to 0.750, 57 percent of all towns; and 51 towns have GPI score of less than 0.500, 34 percent of all towns.

Determinants of Education Attainment at District Level

Chapter 5 describes determinants of education attainment in general and specific to districts of Punjab on the basis of two type of analyses: descriptive statistics and regression analysis. Based on both analyses, the main determinants are:

- the level of poverty, mother's education, multiple deprivation, quality of education and government expenditure impacts education attainment significantly.
- The directions of impact of all variables are positive except poverty which impacts negatively.
- Household size, economic development of district, family head's education and unemployment have no impact on the education attainment.
- Among all determinants, mother's education turn out the most significant determinant of schooling and explains the largest variation in the level of schooling among districts.

The estimates of the MYS and GPI reveal harsh realities of the situation of education attainment in Punjab. Firstly, the years of schooling of the province is very low with huge variations across districts, towns and regions. Secondly, there are large disparities by gender again not only within districts but also across districts and regions. These findings should be of great concern to the Government of Punjab as it put a question to all the reforms and actions that the government has taken to improve the education attainment of people.

The benefit of calculating and analyzing the MYS and GPIs at the districts and towns level is that we have broader picture and more disaggregated information at the local level, which if have been done at the provincial level would not been captured. Using these calculations the Government of Punjab can make well informed policies at the local government level. Also the rankings of districts allow effective comparisons across regions and income groups and serve a basis for dialogue and actions by policymakers and politicians in their respective areas to strive for greater education of people. "Education is a human right with immense power to transform. On its foundation rest the cornerstones of freedom, democracy and sustainable human development."

Kofi Annan

Preamble

Human capital is an important determinant of growth and development of an economy and the welfare of the people. Its importance have been considered by many economists in their works. The most prominent of these are Solow's augmented growth model (Mankiw, Romer and Weil, 1992), Solow-Swan model and the central role of human capital in endogenous growth model of Romer (1990). Countries with large endowments of human capital are today's advanced countries. People of these countries are living happy and prosperous lives. Contrarily, countries with low endowments of human capital are today's backward countries. Most people of these countries are living in poverty and are deprived of happiness.

Building human capital is a slow and steady process which requires decades of investment on people's education and health. Developing countries lack behind the developed countries essentially due to lack of human capital. Therefore, it is imperative for the developing countries to start investing maximum on people to increase stock of human capital if they want to increase and sustain pace of economic growth and to improve welfare of the people. Because human capital not only increases the productivity of labor it also is a mean to absorb the advanced technology from developed countries.

The literature provides no clear definition on the human capital measurement. In the past, mean years of schooling (MYS) along with on the job experience, the latter is used as training and learning by doing, has mostly been used as a measure of human capital. For example, the works of Romer (1990), Barro (1991), Mankiw, Romer and Weil (1992) and Barro & Lee (1996) used MYS as a measure of human capital to explain growth variations across countries. However, the World Economic Forum (WEF) has recently defined human capital in broad terms as *'the skills and capacities that reside in people and that are put to productive use'* (WEF, 2014).¹ These skills and competencies are embodied in an individual which one get through education and knowledge. The WEF measures human capital through four dimensions: education; health and wellness; workforce and employment, and enabling environment. Although added two new dimensions, education and health still are the most important dimensions and form the base of human capital (*Ibid*).

The workforce of the 21st-century has changed dramatically. It is global, technology-savvy, highly connected and demanding. The employees are youthful, ambitious and filled with passion and purpose (Deloitte University Press, 2015). The production and services sector has too become very vibrant and technologically advanced and demand skilled manpower. On the other hand, skilled workers are

¹ Literature documents many definitions of human capital. These definitions differs across disciplines. Which means the human capital consists of many dimensions. Traditionally, it has mostly been viewed as education attainment and on the job experience. On a broader context, economists and policymakers have taken human capital as the ability of the people to innovate and drive the economic growth on a sustainable path. Similarly, many other definitions can be found in the literature.

scarce creating shortages of human capital. This is mainly because of low levels of enrolments in higher education, especially in developing countries (Glewwe, 2002; and Stevens & Weale, 2003). The quality of education too is often compromised in these countries (Grisay & Lars, 1991; and UNESCO, 2004).

Education is, therefore, the foremost determinant of human capital. It gives a person analytical abilities and tools to better analyze and solve the problems in the personal and economic lives. More educated a person is, better off it will be, compare to less educated person. The level of education of a person is measured by the number of years of schooling it obtains. The higher the number of years of schooling, the more educated the person is. Higher education besides increasing pace of growth also has an impact on the social outcomes, such as fertility rate, child mortality, children's education and income distribution (Schultz, 1993; Barro and Lee, 1994; De Gregorio and Lee, 2002; Breierova and Duflo, 2004; Cutler et al., 2006).

Health is the second important determinant of human capital. No matter how educated a person is it will not be able to work in full capacity until it has a strong healthy life. Without good health, the true and long-term benefits of an educated person cannot be realized. A major chunk of people in developing countries tend to be unhealthy, which impact their output, incomes and socio-economic lives. In economic literature, good health of a person is measured by the longevity (Diener and Suh, 1997 and Bleakley, 2010) which is a measure of average years a person is expected to live. The longer the expectation the better is the health of the people in that country. *Higher education and good health are complimentary for human capital. If either of the two is missing or weak a person cannot be counted as human capital or the true benefits of human capital cannot be reaped from that person.*

The state of human capital in Pakistan is one of the lowest in the world. The MYS of a person on average is only 4.7 years in 2013. Given that the quality of education is very low – because the person who can read or write his name is also considered as literate – one can imagine the state of human capital in Pakistan. Besides the quality, the quantity of years of schooling is also quite low compare to the highest of 12.9 years of USA and Germany. The average years of schooling of Bangladesh and Sri Lanka are 5.1 and 10.1 respectively (UNDP, 2014). Similarly, the longevity of life is also very low in Pakistan. On average a Pakistani is expected to live 67 years compare to 84.6 years of Japan, highest in the world. Therefore, like education, the quality of health too is quite low in Pakistan.

The low level of human capital in Pakistan can also be realized from its performance in the Human Capital Index of WEF (2014) – latest measure of human capital. Pakistan comes at 112 out of the total 122 countries. Rankings in the individual dimension of Human Capital Index are: education, 111/122; health and wellness 115/122; workforce and wellness, 104/122; and enabling environment 95/122. Compare to Pakistan, Sri Lanka and India perform far better and overall ranked 50 and 78 respectively. Bangladesh although performs poorly but relatively better than Pakistan. Its overall ranking in the Human Capital Index is 110.

Pakistan to increase its stock of human capital need to proactively pursue two most important dimensions of human capital: education and health. Not only Pakistan needs to pay particular attention to these two sectors but it also needs to Education and health are the most important constituents and form the base of human capital.

The mean years of schooling in Pakistan is 4.7 compare to 12.9 of Germany and USA.

On average a Pakistani is expected to live 67 years compare to 84.6 years of Japan, highest in the world.

Pakistan comes at 112 in the Human Capital Index out of the 122 countries. increase the allocation of budgets to them. Doing this will not only increase the human capital stock of Pakistan but will also put it on a path of faster and sustained economic growth.

The estimates of MYS and longevity of life in Pakistan are mostly available at the national level which are mostly calculated and maintained by the international organizations such as the United Nation (UN), World Bank (WB), WEF, Asian Development Bank (ADB), etc. Only Social Policy and Development Center (SPDC) estimates these two dimensions of human capital at the provincial level in its Annual Review, the Social Development of Pakistan.

This research report for the first time in Pakistan attempts to calculate the mean years of schooling (MYS) for both males and females at the district and town level for the Punjab province. It also calculates the gender disparity index of education attainment at the district and town level. These two calculations is the focus of the report which are further complimented by many other important calculations and determinants that impact the education attainment. Which are: education attainment of population age 25 years and above; percentage of population that has never attended school; education of the family head and mother education; net attendance rate (NAR) at pre-school, primary and secondary levels; percentages of population that cannot read or write; and primary- and secondary-age children out of school. These complimentary calculations are given in district education profile pages in Part 3 of the report.

The report also ranks districts and towns of Punjab based on their performance in MYS and GPI. These calculations and rankings will be important for the Government of Punjab to make well-informed and applicable policies specific at local, district and region level. The rankings will also allow effective comparisons across regions and income groups. The analyses of this report also seeks to serve as a basis for dialogue and action by policymakers and politicians in their respective areas to strive for greater education of people.

This research report has four complimentary objectives. First, to calculate the stock of human capital by towns and districts of Punjab. Second, to describe the extent of gender disparities in education attainment that persist in Punjab. The third objective is to identify the main factors that impact the stock of human capital and cause gender disparities across the districts. The fourth objective is to review the education policies of the Punjab government related to education sector and suggest new policy directions.

The report is organized as follows. The first part of the report describes the underlying methodologies used to calculate the MYS and GPI. It also gives measurements and analyses of these. The second part describes determinants of the years of schooling in general and specific to Punjab base on the descriptive statistics and regression analyses. Last chapter of the report gives recommendations and strategies on the education sector. The district education profiles are given in part three of the report. These profiles give a more detailed picture of the relative strengths and weaknesses of each district's performance. The first table of each district contains number on the gender parity index, year of schooling and education attainment at the towns' level. The second table gives additional information on key indicators related to education sector of the respective district.

The report for the first time in Pakistan attempts to calculate the mean years of schooling and gender disparity index of education attainment at district and town levels.

About Punjab

Chart 1.1: Map of Punjab Province

The purpose of this chapter is to briefly describe the Punjab province. The first section gives the geography of the province. Second section briefly explains the economy of Punjab and its contribution in each sector of the national economy. Third section gives an overview of social developments in the province based on the latest available information.

Punjab is second largest in terms of land area and most densely populated province of Pakistan. Its population in 2013 was 97.4 million, 55.6 percent of

Punjab is second largest in terms of land area and most densely populated province of Pakistan.



Source: Punjab Portal, Government of Punjab.

Pakistan's total population (PuBS, 2014). Out of total population of Punjab, 69 percent lives in rural area and 31 percent in urban area. The total number of districts of Punjab is 36 (see Chart 1.1). Lahore is the largest city and capital of the province. It is also a historical, cultural, administrative and economic center of industry and trade. Lahore is also the hub of the Government of Punjab, the provincial government.

The total number of districts of Punjab are 36. Lahore is the largest city and capital of the province.

The Economy of Punjab and its Comparison with National Economy

The size of the real gross regional product (GRP) of Punjab was Rs 3,196 million in 2011 (SPDC, 2014). Services is largest sector of the province with a share of almost 55 percent. After services comes agriculture and industry with shares of 24 and 21 percent respectively (see Chart 2.1). The total labor force of the province in 2011 was 34.4 million with an unemployment rate of 6.5 percent. Out of the total employed labor force 45 percent were employed in the agriculture, 23 percent in the industry and 32 percent in the services. The per capita income of Punjab in 2011 was Rs 99,306 (\$1,155).

Services is the largest sector of Punjab's economy. Its share is 55 percent of GRP.



Source: Constructed from SPDC (2014) and Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (2012).

The comparison of Punjab's economy with national economy is given in Chart 2.2. This chart shows shares of Punjab's GRP and sectoral value added in national GDP and sectoral value added. As can be seen from the Chart, Punjab has the largest shares of all three sectors and contributes largest to the GDP. The shares are 63 percent in the agriculture sector, 45 percent in the industrial sector, 56 percent in the services sector and 55 percent in the overall economy. Note that Punjab's shares in agriculture and services are bigger than the combine shares of Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan. Only in the industrial sector, Punjab's share is less than the rest of the economy, however, larger than the shares of individual provincial economies. This is mainly because large-scale industries are mostly located in Sindh. Together these sectors make Punjab's economy the largest of the national economy.

Punjab's share in the labor force, like share in the GDP, is biggest in the total labor force of Pakistan. According to the Labor Force Survey of Pakistan 2010-11, the

Punjab has the largest shares of all sectors in national economy.

Punjab's shares in agriculture and services sectors are bigger than the combine shares of Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan. total labor force was 57.2 million, out of which Punjab's labor force was 36.4 million; a share of 60.0 percent. Similarly, the shares of Punjab's employed labor forces in the agriculture, industrial and services sectors are larger than the rest of the provinces. These shares are 60.3, 65.4 and 56.1 respectively for the agriculture, industry and services sectors.



The agriculture sector of Punjab is not only backbone of the province but also of the country as well. The land of the province is very fertile and the four seasons make it most suitable for the agriculture sector. Also, Punjab has one of the best irrigation system in the world which gives an added advantage to the province compare to other provinces. Wheat, rice, sugarcane and cotton are the largest crops of the province. Punjab's industrial sector is also very advance and industries manufacture almost all goods, especially the textile and sports industries are one of the best in the world. Many small light engineering industrial units are established in Lahore, Gujranwala, Faisalabad, Sheikhupura and Sialkot districts. These industrial units are famous for the sports goods, surgical instruments and cutlery products. Punjab is also well endowed with the natural resources. It has many mineral reserves of coal, iron, gas, petrol, rock salt (with the second largest salt mine in the world), dolomite, gypsum and silica-sand.

The agriculture sector of Punjab is not only backbone of the province but also of the country as well.

Position of Punjab in Key Social Indicators and its Comparison with other Provinces

Table 2.1 gives status of social developments of the four provinces. The first indicator is the percentage of population that has never attended school. According to this indicator, as of 2013, 36 percent of Punjab's population has never attended school. Out of which, 28 percent are males and 50 percent are females. The situation of other provinces in this indicator is much more severe. Balochistan is doing worse on this indicator and has the highest percentage of people that has never attended school, almost 56 percent. It is also the province whose 77 percent of females have never attended school, highest in all four provinces. Sindh and Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) also performed poorly on this indicator.

36 percent of Punjab's population has never attended school ...

... situation of other provinces in this indicator is severe than Punjab.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Punjab's Key Social Indicators with other Provinces, 2013						
	Punjab	Sindh	Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa	Balochistan		
Education						
% of Population Never Attended School	36	40	45	56		
Male	28	28	26	39		
Female	50	53	62	77		
Net Enrolment rate						
Primary	62	52	54	45		
Middle	25	19	21	14		
Matric	15	12	10	6		
Adult Literacy Rate (15+ years)	59	59	48	39		
Male	69	72	67	58		
Female	50	45	30	18		
Expenditure (% of Total PRSP Expenditure)	31.5	31.2	44.5	27.5		
Health						
Full Immunization	89	74	76	53		
Infant Mortality Rate (per 1000 child)*	81	81	63	49		
Expenditure (% of Total PRSP Expenditure)	12.0	13.8	11.3	9.8		
Level of Poverty (%)** 35.3 38.3 41.1 45.2						

Sources: PBS (2014); PBS (2008); SPDC (2013) and PRSP Secretariat, Ministry of Finance.

* Value for the year 2007. ** Value for the year 2011.

The situation in the net enrolment rates at all levels – primary, middle and matric – is also better in Punjab compare to other provinces (see Table 2.1). The numbers indicate that the proportion of population going to school in all three stages is highest in Punjab. Similar is the situation in the adult literacy rate. As of 2013, the literacy rate in Punjab is 59 percent, same as Sindh but greater than KPK and Balochistan. Sindh's relatively higher literacy rate is mainly due to males but Punjab is doing well in this indicator too because gender parity in education is more in this province. Overall, Punjab is performing well in the education sector while Balochistan is doing worst. One of the reasons of this bad performance of Balochistan could be the low level of expenditures of the province on the sector.

Punjab's performance in the health sector is also better than the other three provinces. The immunization rate in Punjab is highest and lowest in Balochistan. However, a worsening situation for Punjab is its performance in controlling the infant mortality rate. Sindh is doing same as Punjab in this indicator. Surprisingly Balochistan is doing well in controlling the infant mortality rate and has the lowest value of 49. Like the education sector, Balochistan spends lowest in the health sector too.

Punjab is performing well in the education sector while Balochistan is doing worst. The last indicator in Table 2.1 is the level of poverty. Punjab has a very high proportion of population living in poverty. As of 2011 more than one-third of the population of Punjab was poor. The level could have increased further now given that the economy is growing at low rates since last few years. However, like the performances in the education and health sectors, Punjab has relatively low level of poverty than Sindh, Balochistan and KPK. Again Balochistan is performing worst on this indicator and has the highest proportion of people living in poverty.

The above analyses reveals the relative importance of Punjab for the Pakistan. Punjab not only has the largest share in the population of Pakistan, it also makes the largest contribution to the national economy. Punjab is also doing well in the key social indicators compare to other provinces. Therefore, it would not be wrong saying that Punjab is the most developed and advanced province of Pakistan Punjab has relatively low level of poverty than Sindh, Balochistan and KPK.

Part 1

Measuring Mean Years of Schooling and Gender Parity Index

> If education is always to be conceived along the same antiquated lines of a mere transmission of knowledge, there is little to be hoped from it in the bettering of man's future. For what is the use of transmitting knowledge if the individual's total development lags behind?

> > Maria Montessori

Methodology

This chapter gives the methodologies on measuring the MYS and GPI.

Methodology

Mean Years of Schooling

Measurement of education attainment is not a new area of research. The pioneers of the work was Barro and Lee (1993) after which many attempts were made. For example, see the works of the Nehru, Swanson, and Dubey (1995); Kim and Lau (1995); Institute for Statistics (1996); and Barro and Lee (1996). The methodologies followed by these authors were more or less the same. The differences come only in coverage of the time-periods and type of data used, for example primary versus secondary data.

The latest estimates of MYS are calculated by UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) at the end of 2013 for the population aged 25 years and older. The methodology followed by the UIS was based on the approach by Barro and Lee (2013). Similar kind of methodology was followed by the Cohen & Soto (2007). Therefore, following Cohen & Soto (2007) and Barro & Lee (2013), the methodology used to calculate the MYS in this report is as follows:

where MYS is the mean years of schooling, AG_g is the population share of the agegroup and YS_g is the years of schooling of the respective age-group.² Given in equation (1), the MYS for a town or district is the weighted average of years of schooling of the age-group 25 years and above. To have a better estimates of MYS, the population of age 25 years and above is further divided into 9 groups of five year age intervals such that g = 1 includes age-group of 25 to 29; g = 2 is the age-group of 30 to 34; g = 3 is the age-group of 35 to 39; so on until reached the oldest age-group g = 9 which includes all those age 65 years and above. The weights are represented by AG_g which are calculated as the ratio of the educated people in the age-group divided by the total population of the respective age-group.

The main characteristics of this methodology and working on the primary data is that rather than using the available information (as some studies have used secondary data to calculate MYS) together it exploits the information by age-groups which gives a more accurate and reliable measure of MYS.

² The equation in (1) is the final version of the equation used for calculations. To make the report non-technical, its derivation and the associated formulae are not given.

Gender Parity Index

The gender parity index (GPI) is a very simple measure of unequal access to opportunities given to males and females. Here, the GPI would measure the relative unequal access to education attainment of males and females and will be calculated simply by dividing the females MYS to males MYS in a region. The formula of the GPI is as follows:

Gender Parity Index = $\frac{MYS \text{ of females}}{MYS \text{ of males}} \dots \dots \dots \dots (2)$

The value of the GPI usually lies in between 0 to 1. A GPI value equal to 1 indicates perfect parity between females and males in attaining the education and a value equal to 0 indicates that females have no access to education. Similarly, a value less than 1 indicates disparity in favor of males and a value greater than 1 indicates disparity in favor of females. In very rare cases, the value of the index goes above 1. If it does here it mean that females has more education compare to males.

Dataset used for the Calculations

The study uses primary dataset for all calculations for the Towns/Tehsils and districts of Punjab. The database is taken from the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) collected by the Punjab Bureau of Statistics in collaboration with United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). MICS is an international household survey programme developed by UNICEF. The MICS Punjab, 2011 was conducted as part of the fourth global round of MICS surveys (MICS4).

The survey provides information on a large number of indicators on the situation of women and children including the socio-economic indicators at the provincial level for 9 divisions, 36 districts, 150 towns/tehsils, major cities, other urban and rural areas. The sample size of the MICS4 is 599617 or 102048 households. Out of which, the sample size of the major cities is 10044 households, 31812 households is other urban areas and the rest sample size is from rural areas of Punjab. Also note that, out of the total sample size, 51 percent are males and 49 percent are females which indicates a fair representation of the gender in the survey. For more detail on the sampling of the MICS4, the reader are advised to consult the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, Provincial Report, Volume – 1 (GoPun, 2011).

The MICS4 is the richest and largest dataset for the Punjab compare to other primary datasets available in Pakistan. For example, there are three well-known primary datasets in Pakistan at the provincial levels. These are Labor Force Survey (LFS), Pakistan Social Standards and Livings Measurement Survey (PSLM) and Household Integrated Economic Survey (HIES). These datasets are collected and maintained by the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (PBS). The sample size of all these datasets are given in Table 3.1.

Note that the sample size of the MICS4 dataset is far larger than the sample sizes of other three datasets. Therefore, the population coverage of the MICS4 would be much better and the calculations performed using it will also be much more reliable and close to the population true parameters. The sample size of the MICS is 102048 households. Which makes it the largest primary dataset for the Punjab on socio-economic indicators.

Table 3.1: Sample Sizes of Three Major Surveys of Pakistan in 2011					
Name of Survey	Sample Size (Number of Households)				
	Pakistan	Punjab			
Labor Force Survey (LFS)	36,464	16,080			
Pakistan Social and Living Standards Measurement Survey (PSLM)	77,488	32,372			
Household Integrated Economic Survey (HIES)	6,954	16,341			
Source: Pakistan Bureau of Statistics.					

Measurements and Analyses

This chapter gives results of MYS and GPI estimates. Only summary analyses based on the calculations of MYS and GPI are given here. Detailed estimates of MYS and GPI for each town/tehsil are given in Part 3 of the report.

Mean Years of Schooling

Years of schooling for Punjab comes out to be 4.5. The males years of schooling are 5.7 and females years of schooling are 3.3. These findings are quite close to the SPDC's estimates of MYS. According to the SPDC estimates, the MYS for Punjab is 4.6: 5.3 years for males and 3.9 years for females (SPDC, 2014). Although the overall estimate is close to SPDC's estimate but estimates differ somewhat if males and females are consider separately. The differences are not large. However, our estimates are based on a dataset which is much larger in the sample size, therefore, these are more reliable than the SPDC's estimates because those are based on the LFS 2011 dataset whose sample size is very small compare to MICS4 sample size. According to SPDC, the MYS for the Pakistan is 4.6: 5.5 years of males and 3.5 years of females (*Ibid*).

Chart 4.1 gives distribution of the top and bottom 10 districts of Punjab by their performances in attaining the MYS. The district with highest overall education attainment, as expected, is Lahore with a MYS of 6.5. Rawalpindi is at second place with a MYS of 6.4, close to the Lahore. Among top 10 districts, Mandi Bahaudin is the last district with a MYS of 4.9 years, just above provincial average. Other districts that make the top 10 are: Chakwal, Jhelum, Gujrat, Sialkot, Gujranwala, Faisalabad

Mean years of schooling for Punjab is 4.5, of which males years of schooling is 5.7 and females years of schooling is 3.3

Lahore has the highest years of schooling of 6.5.



and Narowal. Most of these districts are located in the Central or Northern regions of Punjab and have a relatively higher share of population living in the urban areas. Also, as you will see in the next Chapter, these districts have low poverty levels and are relatively more developed.

List of districts with lowest level of MYS is also shown in Chart 4.1. The district that acquired highest MYS in the bottom 10 districts is Layyah with a MYS of 3.9. Rajanpur has the least level of overall MYS of only 2.9. The other districts that make at the bottom 10 are: Lodhran, Bhakkar, Chiniot, Muzaffargarh, Bahawalpur, Pakpattan, Jhang and Kasur. The people of these districts are mostly poor, higher proportion of population resides in rural areas and economically underdeveloped. Table 4.1 gives a complete list of districts with highest, medium and lowest level of MYS. The reasons of variations in MYS of districts are discussed in the next Chapter 5 and in Part 3 of the report where separate information is given for each town and district.

Rajanpur has least level of MYS of only 2.9 years.

Districts with Highest MYS		Districts with Medium MYS			Districts with Lowest MYS				
	1.	Lahore	6.5	10.	Mandi Bahaudin	4.9	22.	Dera Gazi Khan	3.9
	2.	Rawalpindi	6.4	11.	Toba Tek Singh	4.9	23.	Khushab	3.9
	3.	Chakwal	5.6	12.	Attock	4.9	24.	Bahawal Nagar	3.9
	4.	Jhelum	5.5	13.	Sahiwal	4.7	25.	Raheem Yar Khan	3.7
	5.	Gujrat	5.4	14.	Khanewal	4.7	26.	Okara	3.7
	6.	Sialkot	5.2	15.	Multan	4.5	27.	Layyah	3.7
	7.	Gujranwala	5.2	16.	Nankana Sahib	4.4	28.	Kasur	3.6
	8.	Faisalabad	5.1	17.	Sheikhupura	4.4	29.	Jhang	3.6
	9.	Narowal	5.1	18.	Sargodha	4.3	30.	Pakpattan	3.5
				19.	Mianwali	4.1	31.	Bahawalpur	3.5
				20.	Hafizabad	4.1	32.	Muzaffargarh	3.4
				21.	Vehari	4.0	33.	Chiniot	3.4
							34.	Bhakkar	3.3
							35.	Lodhran	3.1
							36.	Rajanpur	2.9

Note that the division of districts into high, medium and low level of MYS is done on the basis of how far or close a district is from the average MYS of Punjab. List of Highest MYS includes all those districts with a MYS of over 5.0 years. List of Medium MYS includes all those districts whose MYS is close to the provincial average with an interval of ±0.5 years. Lastly, the list of Lowest MYS includes all those districts with a MYS below 4.0 years.

The rankings of districts by gender are shown in Chart 4.2. Panel A gives top and bottom 10 districts for males and Panel B shows top and bottom 10 districts for females. Among all these districts, the highest MYS of males is 8.0 for the Rawalpindi. Surprisingly, Lahore comes second with MYS of 7.2. Note that top 10 districts of males are mostly those with overall highest MYS. However, Attock and Khanewal makes to the top 10 list in case of males while Sialkot and Gujrat drop out of the list (see Chart 4.2 for details).

The district with lowest MYS for males is Rajanpur with 4.0 years of schooling. Note that Rajanpur also has the lowest overall MYS. Like the top 10 districts, most of the districts in the bottom 10 list also have lowest combine MYS. Compare to males, the highest MYS of females is 5.7 for the district of Lahore. Rawalpindi, in case of females, is at the second place while it was on the top in case of males' MYS. The district with lowest MYS for males is Rajanpur with a MYS of 4.0 ...

... and the district with lowest MYS for females is Lodhran with a MYS of 1.6 only. The difference between the top MYS of males and females is 2.3 years which is quite a lot and point out to large gender disparities in attaining the education.

The district that comes at the bottom in case of females is Lodhran with a MYS of 1.6 only. The difference in the lowest MYS of males and females is 2.4 years. The districts with highest and lowest MYS for both males and females are mostly same, again indicating that the gender disparities in attaining education are quite a lot.



Chart 4.2: Top 10 and Bottom 10 Districts by Mean Years of Schooling by Gender

The rankings and distribution of these districts according to the MYS as noted in Charts 4.1 & 4.2 and Table 4.1 requires further explanation. Districts that score highest in the MYS are mostly located near provincial capital like Chakwal, Faisalabad, Gujranwala, Mandi Bahaudin, Narowal and Sialkot. These districts have access to better infrastructure and facilities that support an environment conducive to education attainment. For a detail of what other factor could be responsible for differences in the MYS of districts, see the district education profiles in Part 3 of the report.

The rankings of towns by MYS for the top and bottom 20 are given in Chart 4.3. Compare to districts, the town's highest MYS is 9.5 for Samanabad. While at the district level, the highest MYS was 8.0. *This is one benefit of calculating the MYS at the towns level that we have more disaggregated information at the local level, which if have been done at the district level would not been captured.* The analysis will become more useful when the case of males and females are considered separately. Among 20 towns with highest MYS, 7 are located in Lahore and the rest of the top towns are located near Lahore district or in the central or northern regions of Punjab (see Chart 4.3 for details). The town with lowest MYS of 1.5 is Rojhan located in the Rajanpur district. The difference in the MYS of highest and lowest town is 8.0 years, a matter of great concern for the Government of Punjab. Again, most towns in the bottom category of MYS are located in the South Punjab.

Samanabad has the highest MYS of 9.5 and Rojhan has the lowest MYS of 1.5.

Difference in the MYS of highest and lowest town is 8.0 years.

Among top 20 towns, 7 are located in Lahore and the rest are located near Lahore or in the central or northern regions of Punjab.



Chart 4.3: Top and bottom 20 Towns/Tehsils by Mean Years of Schooling

Chart 4.4 presents top and bottom 20 districts by gender. The numbers on MYS become quite astonishing when the analyses are done by gender. Samanabad is on the top of males list of highest MYS with a score of 10.1 years. While Rojhan has the lowest MYS of 2.5 only. The difference between the highest and lowest MYS is



Chart 4.4: Top and Bottom 20 Districts by Mean Years of Schooling for Males and Females





Top 20 Towns/Tehsils – Female





quite large at the town level. Similar is the case of MYS of females. In case of females too Samanabad has the highest MYS of 8.8 years and Rojhan has the lowest MYS of 0.5 only (see Chart 4.4 for details).

The analyses of this section show a very gloomy picture of the human capital stock in Punjab. It is not only low but there are large variations across districts and towns which become huge when males and females are consider separately. Only 9 districts has MYS greater than the provincial average; in 24, lower than the provincial average; and in 3, equal to provincial average. The number of districts with lower MYS than the Punjab average increases to 34 when females are consider only while in case of males only 2 districts' MYS is below provincial average. Similarly, 71 out of the 150 towns have years of schooling below 4.5 years, the provincial average. The number of towns with less than provincial average goes up to 122 in case of females while only 13 towns have less MYS than the provincial average.

The analysis of MYS at the district and towns level reveal great variations in attaining the education not only by gender but also across different regions of the Punjab. For example, the difference in MYS of highest male and lowest female is 9.6 years, more than double that of the Punjab's average. A more detail analysis of these disparities in education attainment is done in next section. *The overall conclusion from the analyses of MYS is that the poor attainment of education in districts of South Punjab does raise the issue of whether this region has been 'neglected' in provincial and local development allocations as the backward districts appear to be underprovided with education facilities.*

Gender Disparity Index

The analyses in this section compare the relative position of males and females in acquiring education at the district and towns level through the gender parity index. Table 4.2 gives ranking of top and bottom 10 districts while Table 4.3 gives rankings of top and bottom 20 towns. The complete lists of rankings of districts and towns are given in Part 3.

It is very shocking to find out that none of the districts has achieved gender disparity in attaining education. Lahore with the largest score in the GPI still able to cover less than 80 percent of the gap in gender disparity. The condition of other districts is much worse. For example, the Lodhran is the worst district with the lowest score in GPI of 0.353 only. It means that females' MYS of this district are just 35 percent of males' MYS. Also, note that the highest score in the bottom 10 districts is 0.470 which means that education attainment of females is still far less than males' education.

These results become worst when the analysis are done at the towns level. Although, compare to districts, some towns have higher score but the situation is worse on the lower side of the index. Town with highest GPI of 0.889 is Data Ganj Bakhsh. No one could have thought that the Data Ganj Bakhsh can have more gender disparity in education attainment compare to well-developed towns of Lahore or other districts of Punjab. But note that although the MYS of Data Ganj Bakhsh is not the highest but people of this town have more equal opportunities to education None of the districts has achieved gender disparity in attaining the education.

Data Ganj Bakhsh Town has the highest score of 0.889 in the GPI. compare to other towns. Samanabad with highest MYS of both males and females comes at third position in this index.

Pank District	Mean Year	s of Schooling	Conder Darity Index
	Male	Female	Gender Parity Index
	Top 10) Districts	
1. Lahore	7.2	5.7	0.794
2. Sialkot	6.0	4.5	0.744
3. Gujranwala	6.1	4.3	0.702
4. Gujrat	6.5	4.4	0.682
5. Faisalabad	6.2	4.0	0.656
6. Toba Tek Singh	6.0	3.9	0.652
7. Sahiwal	5.7	3.6	0.629
8. Rawalpindi	8.0	5.0	0.625
9. Sheikhupura	5.3	3.3	0.623
10. Chakwal	7.2	4.4	0.604
	Bottom	10 Districts	
27. Dera Ghazi Khan	5.4	2.5	0.470
28. Layyah	5.0	2.3	0.450
29. Rajanpur	4.0	1.8	0.442
30. Muzaffargarh	4.8	2.1	0.440
31. Chiniot	4.8	2.0	0.409
32. Jhang	5.2	2.1	0.406
33. Mianwali	6.0	2.3	0.386
34. Bhakkar	4.7	1.8	0.378
35. Khushab	5.8	2.1	0.366
36. Lodhran	4.5	1.6	0.353

Overall analyses of this section reveals that only 1 out of the total 36 districts is able to cover more than three-fourth gender disparity. 24 districts have covered gender disparities of more than 50 percent but less than 75 percent. While all other districts have disparities of less than 50 percent. The district with highest disparity is in the Lodhran with a GPI score of 0.353 only. Note that Lodhran is not the district with lowest level of MYS rather it is Rajanpur. In case of towns, out of the total 150 towns only 13 have GPI score of more than 0.750, less than 9 percent of all towns; 86, between 0.500 and 0.750, 57 percent of all towns; and 51 towns, less than 0.500.

The estimates of the MYS and GPI reveal the harsh reality of the actual situation of education attainment in Punjab. Firstly, the years of schooling of the province is very low with huge variations across districts, towns and regions. Secondly, there are large disparities in the years of schooling of males and females again not only within districts but also across districts and regions. The low level of years of schooling and high gender disparities should be a matter of concern for the Government of Punjab and require her firm actions to not only increase the level of MYS for both males and females but also to decrease the extent of gender disparities across regions. Only 1 district out of 36 and 13 towns out 150 have GPI score of more than 0.750.

	Mean Years of Schooling				
	Town/Tehsil	District	Male	Female	Gender-Parity Index
		Top 20 Towns	/Tehsils		
1.	Data Ganj Bakhsh Town	Lahore	8.3	7.3	0.889
2.	Shalimar Town	0	8.2	7.2	0.876
3.	Samanabad Town	0	10.1	8.8	0.870
4.	Sialkot	Sialkot	6.4	5.5	0.860
5.	Gulberg Town	Lahore	9.3	7.9	0.849
6.	Cantonment	0	8.1	6.6	0.811
7.	Ravi Town	0	6.8	5.4	0.799
8.	Iqbal Town	0	6.6	5.1	0.772
9.	Aziz Bhatti Town	0	5.8	4.5	0.770
10.	Nandipur Town	Gujranwala	6.6	5.0	0.758
11.	Aroop Town	0	6.6	5.0	0.756
12.	Jinnah Town	Faisalabad	6.4	4.8	0.754
13.	Rawal Town	Rawalpindi	9.4	7.0	0.752
14.	Wazirabad Town	Gujranwala	6.1	4.5	0.742
15.	Sangla Hill	Nankana Sahib	6.0	4.5	0.740
16.	Sambrial	Sialkot	6.2	4.6	0.738
17.	Madina Town	Faisalabad	6.6	4.8	0.724
18.	Daska	Sialkot	5.9	4.3	0.715
19.	Qila Dedar Singh Town	Gujranwala	6.2	4.4	0.709
20.	Gujrat	Gujrat	6.4	4.5	0.704
		Bottom 20 Tow	ns/Tehsils		
131	. Sahiwal	Sargodha	5.8	2.3	0.396
132	. Hazro	Attock	5.8	2.3	0.388
133	. Piplan	Mianwali	5.9	2.2	0.380
134	. Jalalpur Pirwala Town	Multan	4.1	1.6	0.379
135	. Kot Momin	Sargodha	4.6	1.7	0.372
136	. Dunyapur	Lodhran	5.3	2.0	0.371
137	. Jatoi	Muzaffargarh	4.2	1.5	0.369
138	. Kalur Kot	Bhakkar	4.6	1.7	0.368
139	. Minchinabad	Bahawalnagar	4.1	1.5	0.358
140	. Isa Khel	Mianwali	5.3	1.9	0.353
141	. Karor Pacca	Lodhran	3.9	1.4	0.350
142	. Lodhran	Lodhran	4.5	1.6	0.341
143	. Khairpur Tamewali	Bahawalpur	3.6	1.2	0.330
144	. Qaidabad	Khushab	5.5	1.7	0.304
145	. 18 - Hazari	Jhang	4.6	1.3	0.279
146	. Nurpur Thal	Khushab	5.7	1.5	0.272
147	. Bhowana	Chiniot	5.0	1.3	0.267
148	. Chobara	Layyah	3.9	1.0	0.248
149	. Mankera	Bhakkar	4.2	1.0	0.239
150	. Rojhan	Rajanpur	2.5	0.5	0.185

Table 4.3: Top and Bottom 20 Towns by Gender Disparity in Mean Years of Schooling

The question that now arises is why MYS is so low and why there are large gender disparities in education attainment, especially in the developed districts of Punjab? Answer to which depends in differences in the three key dimensions of education attainment. These dimensions are: behavioral, socio-economic and infrastructural. By behavioral dimension we meant here that attitudes of children and parents differ toward the education given their local and socio-economic environments. A child when come to the world learn only those things that his/her parents and the society around him taught. Above all, parents are the sole responsible for children's future. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the parents to make their children study no matter what and there should be no discrimination whether it is a girl or a boy.

In reality, however, boys and girls get different treatment in their schooling and this is one of the potential explanations of gender gap in education in towns and districts of Punjab. At the same time boys and girls too show very causal and nonserious attitudes toward education mainly of the backward areas of Punjab. These two behavior complements each other and results in low level of schooling, especially of girls and create gender disparities. These two type of behaviors can be called **personal barriers.** There are many reasons of these personal barriers and come under the second dimension of schooling, which is socio-economic.

Society where one lives is a very potential influencer on one's life. A children living in developed society would definitely choose schooling compare to society which is underdeveloped. Same is the case in Punjab. Children living in developed and relatively urbanized district tend to study than children of underdeveloped and relatively ruralized districts. Such restrictions to education can be called **societal barriers**. Overcoming personal and societal barriers to education attainment is one big challenge for the government because these not only keep children out of school but also make them drop-out at later stages of schooling.

On the economic side of educating a children, the main reason of why parents' spend relatively less on daughters' schooling is due to the poorer economic return to girls' education. Therefore, keeping in view the economic returns to education, it could be assumed that parents respond rationally instead of discriminating girls' education. This behavior of the parents to girls' education could be termed as **outcome barriers** to education. This barrier is related to discriminatory behavior of the labor market. Other major economic factor that restrict education of a child is income and wealth level. Parents with relatively low levels of both will tend to restrict their children from education and may send them to work. Only government can help in this matter by providing some kind of economic relief to parents.

The third dimension which restrict children's schooling is the **infrastructural barriers** to education. These includes insufficient number of schools, colleges and universities compare to population requirement along with substandard and unsafe facilities, poor teacher quality, lack of free text books, etc. Removing these barriers are solely the responsibility of the government. It is surprising to note that many of Punjab districts do not have a university recognized by the HEC. This is one major explanation of why children of most districts drop out of the higher education and if they want to continue they come across lot of difficulties, especially girls. Districts needs to be provided with *education infrastructure (schools, colleges and universities) according to the requirement of the population*. Absence of these barriers create an **enabling environment** conducive for children's education.

Part 2

Education Determinants and Recommendations

"Education is not the means of showing people how to get what they want. Education is an exercise by means of which enough men, it is hoped, will learn to want what is worth having."

Ronald Reagan

Determinants of Mean Years of Schooling

This chapter describes determinants of education attainment in general (section 1) and particularly of Punjab. These determinants will be explained in two stages. In the first stage, relationship between mean years of schooling and its determinants are describe using graphs (section 2). In stage two, these determinants are estimated using the regression analysis (section 3).

Literature Review

The literature on the education attainment reports a number of determinants of a child's decision to get education. This decision is function of its attitude towards education starting from the primary level to graduation, repetitions and dropouts. In turn there are many determinants of child's attitude which are individual and family based such as sex, income, health, quality of education etc. Some are related to the government, community, traditions and cultures where children reside. For example, policy based determinants such as the provision of infrastructure.

At the personal level a child's choice to get enrolled in the school is affected by a number of factors. For example, the parents' education have great impact on children's decision to go to school (Al-Qudsi, 2003; Tansel, 2000). Children whose parents' are not much educated or uneducated mostly do not show much interest in their children's education and if children get enrolled mostly they drop out of the school (Namora and Roushdy, 2007). This happens because parents' behavior is very casual in such cases. There are rare cases of children getting higher education in case of less educated parents (Kambhampati and Rajan, 2006 and Chowdry *et al.* 2010). Some studies have documented that mother's education has more significant impact on children's decision of getting education compare to father's education (Currie and Moretti, 2003). Further, research documents that educating a girl is like giving education to the whole of the family (Andrabi *et al*, 2007).

Family and household characteristics like family size or household size are also have impact on the enrolment and schooling progress. Household size is usually large in developing countries due to many factors. Finding these factors is not part of this report but what is important is why family size impacts education of children? This is mainly because the burden of the whole family is generally tolerated by the family head with little or no support from other family members. Given large family size, it becomes difficult for the family head to support the expenses and therefore children's education get scarified (Black, *et al.*, 2005). In fact, in most cases the children have to work to support the family, the child labor. This happens when head of the family is mostly a female (Psacharopoulos, 1997; Basu and Van, 1998; and Wahba, 2006). For sake of extra income, children in such conditions have to work rather than go to school.

Level of poverty is another important determinant of education attainment. People in the low income countries are mostly poor and spend all or most of their incomes to meet basic food items and necessities like electricity, house rent etc. Poverty is also the main cause of children working at the school-age (Jayachandran, 2002 and Bhalotra, 2007). Further, on the question of whether child labor displaces schooling or not? Ravallion and Wodon (2000) found that a reduction in child labor only leads to a very small increase in school enrolment in Bangladesh but it reduces the welfare of the family due to decrease in income. Similar findings were reported by Ray (2000) in the context of Pakistan. The Ray based on his analysis rejected the "luxury axiom" in case of Pakistan. Contrary to poverty, wealth of family has a significant positive effect on education attainment (Roushdy and Namora, 2007). Though there are some conflicting views and evidences in developing countries, as some studies report that income is not a major determinant of a person's preferences, but still poverty remains one of the most important determinants of education attainment (Horgan, 2007 and Coley J. and Baker 2013).

The parents and children's choice to invest in education is also determined by the payoffs or future benefits attached to education attainment. If the opportunity cost of getting enrolled in school is too high, parents prefer to send their children to work rather than to schools-the phenomenon of child labor. The returns from investing in children's education come after many years. However, mostly people do not realize this and instead prefer to not enroll children (Kingdon and Theopold, 2008).

Quality of education is another very important determinant. The main factors that determine the quality are: teachers' education and/or skills and number of students in the class. The latter is also called the pupil-teacher ratio and is the most used variable of quality. The authors Angrist and Lavy (1999); Case and Deaton (1999); Krueger (1999); Vos and Ponce (2004) and Hammill (2006) found that students in the small classes learn more quickly and score higher compare to the students in larger class size. Recently, Hanushek et al. (2008) have shown that the quality of schools does influence enrolment and drop-out in Egypt's primary education. Contrarily, these authors find that the level of infrastructure does not really have an impact on the student behavior.

Delay in the school enrolment is another very interesting aspect of students' behavior. For example, some students enroll late due to malnutrition or bad health (due to some disease) (Glewwe and Jacoby, 1994; Strohschein, 2005). Also, as pointed out above, incomes in developing countries are mostly very low, therefore, one of the possible reason for dilatory issues in school enrollment is that children belongs to such family may delay the enrollment until their economic condition get better (Bommier and Lambert, 2000). Sometimes students delay their education and start job in order to earn and support their education, especially higher education.

Distance from school is another very important determinant of schooling, especially in the case of girls. Because mostly parents are afraid of sending girls in schools that are located at far distances (De Vrever et al., 1998; and Andrabi, 2007). This is especially true in the regions with security issues making it difficult for students and teachers to reach school.

Analysis of Education Attainment Determinants in Punjab

This section relates the determinants of education attainment, described in the previous section to education attainment of Punjab. The analyses are restricted at the district level due the two reasons: first, information for most determinants is not available at the town level; second, the analyses are much more readable at the district level, that is, it would become difficult for the readers to extract exact information from analyses if done at towns' level.

Figure 5.1 shows the relationship between MYS and the level of poverty. The level of poverty, as indicated in the literature review, is negatively impacting the years of schooling in Punjab. The trend line passing through the points indicates that more the level of poverty in a district is lesser are the years of schooling. However, there are some exceptions to the relationship. For example, in case of Jehlum, years of schooling are low although the level of poverty is quite low. On the other hand, Jhang has relatively higher years of schooling given that the level of poverty is quite high in the district. That means some other factors are also responsible in the contradictory results of these districts. Lahore, Rawalpindi and Chakwal are cases where people have highest years of schooling mainly because people in these districts have higher incomes. People in districts located on the far right and close to the x-axis are mainly poor and therefore less educated. The correlation coefficient of the relationship between these two variables is -0.561 which is highly significant at 1 percent level of significance.





Figure 5.1: Relationship between Poverty and Mean Years of Schooling by Districts

Parents' education play a pivotal role in their children's education attainment as described in the previous section. This relationship is shown in Figures 5.2 and 5.3. Figure 5.2 shows relationship between education of the household's head and mean years of schooling and Figure 5.3 shows relationship between mother's



Figure 5.2: Relationship between Household's Head Education and Mean Years of Schooling by Districts



Figure 5.3: Relationship between Mother's Education and Mean Years of Schooling by Districts

Source: Author's own calculations.
education and mean years of schooling. The trend lines are upward sloping in both figures, indicating the positive impact of the parents' education on the education of their children. This point is also highlighted by the LEAPS project based on analysis of three districts of Punjab (Andrabi *et al*, 2007).

Note that the relationship between mother's education and MYS is more strong compare to the household's head education. The correlation coefficient between mother's education and MYS is 0.648 which is statistically significant at 1 percent level of significance while the correlation coefficient between household's head education and MYS is 0.129 which is statistically insignificant. Therefore, it would not be wrong saying that mothers' education play much more pivotal role in the children's education compare to that of fathers.

Figure 5.4 shows the relationship between economic development of the district and MYS. The economic development index is taken from the Institute of Public Policy's Fifth Annual Review (2012). It is a composite index of education, health and infrastructure sectors. As we can see from the figure, the MYS is positively related to the higher level of economic development. However, the correlation coefficient between the two, 0.271, is statistically insignificant. In Punjab, mother's education has stronger impact on the children's education compare to family's head.

The relationship between economic development and years of schooling is positive but statistically insignificant.



Source: The number on the economic development index are taken from IPP(2012).

Figure 5.5 shows the relationship between multiple deprivation of the district and the MYS. Multiple Deprivation is a composite index consisted of many subindices on the education, health, housing quality, housing services and economic deprivation. As can be seen from the figure that there is a negative relationship between the higher intensity of multiple deprivation of the district and its effort to

People in Punjab tend to sacrifice education if the intensity of deprivation of basic facilities increases. acquire the MYS. The correlation coefficient between the two is -0.643 which is statistically significant at the 1 percent level of significance.



Figure 5.6 plots the relationship between the unemployment rate and MYS. As can be seen from the figure there is a negative relationship between the two. However, the correlation coefficient of this relationship is -0.125 which is highly insignificant.

Unemployment has no significant impact on the education attainment.



Figure 5.7 shows the relationship between the family size and MYS. As can be seen from the figure, there is a positive relationship between the two. The correlation coefficient of this relationship, which is 0.129, is highly insignificant. Ahmed *et al* (2013) based on regression analysis of rural Punjab found mixed results of family size on the enrolment of boys and girls. The coefficient of it were statistically insignificant in most of regressions.

Household size too has no significant impact on education attainment of children.



Besides these indicators there are other important determinants that impact years of schooling but unfortunately data on these variables are not available at the district level. For example, expenditure of the family on the education is an important determinant of the education attainment. Similarly, distance from school is also very important determinant, especially of the females' education. The Andrabi *et al* (2007) project based on a household survey of three districts of Punjab (Attock, Faisalabad and Rahim Yar Khan) found that "households in these districts do not value children education, make small investments on them, keep them out of school so they can work and distance from school has great impact on the females' enrolment."

In another study, Ahmed (2013) based on primary data collected from rural areas of different districts of Punjab found five main determinants of parents' choice to go for low cost private schools when free public schools available. These determinants are: socioeconomic status of the household, degree of a school's accessibility, cost of schooling, parents' perceptions of school quality and their perceptions of the available employment opportunities in the region. Similarly, two recent studies of Malik (2011) and Habib (2013) analyzed in detail past policy intervention, actions and reforms of the government of Punjab and their impacts on the education achievements and outcomes. Based on their comprehensive analyses, she found that the people of Punjab remain poorly educated despite numerous education policies and reforms.

Empirical Estimation of the Determinants of Mean Years of Schooling

The previous two sections described the determinants of education attainment based on the literature review and the actual data. This section empirically estimates the determinants of MYS using the regression technique. For this purpose, the following functional form is used:

$$MYS = F\left(PE, Poverty, EE, \frac{EI}{POP}, U, \frac{S}{T}\right)$$

where PE is parent's education; *Poverty* is the level of poverty; *EE* is the government expenditure on the education sector; EI is the education institutions like schools, colleges and universities; *POP* is the population of the district; *U* is the unemployment rate; *S* and *T* are total number of students and teachers respectively at all levels of education. The last variable, ratio of *S* over *T*, measures the pupil-teacher-ratio.

All of the data in this analysis is at the district level and for the year 2011. The sources of the data have already been described above except for the population, education institutions, students and teachers which are taken from the Punjab Development Statistics (PuBS, 2012).

The level of poverty and the student-teacher ratio are expected to impact the MYS negatively. The relationship between the unemployment rate and MYS is ambiguous, that is, it can be negative or positive. The pupil-teacher ratio is proxy measure of the quality of education variable. The lower is this ratio, higher will be the quality of education. Therefore, its expected sign is also negative. Also note that it would have been much more interesting if the data on the education expenditure of family is available instead of government expenditure on education. Regression is estimated using the simple ordinary least squares (OLS) method.

The results of the estimated coefficients are given in Table 5.1. First results of the individual variable regression are reported. Last column of the table reports results of regression with all variables together. In the individual variable regressions poverty, mother's education and government expenditure on the education turned out to be highly significant. These are all significant at the 1 percent level of significance. However, among all variables, mother's education turned out to be the most significant, has the highest size of coefficient and explains most of the variation in MYS. The number of educational institutions to population ratio, pupil-teacher ratio and the unemployment rate are turned out to be insignificant. The insignificance of labor market on the education is also supported by the Aslam (2009). He found that the labor market has no impact on the decision of children in getting education, especially of girls.

Regression with all variables is the final one and is of interest. When all the variables are introduced together, the ratio of the number of education institutions

to population become significant. While other two variables, pupil-teacher ratio and unemployment rate, remain insignificant. Note that mother's education remains the

Table 5.1: Empirical Results of Estimated Regression										
	Mean Years of Schooling									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
Constant	5.81 (14.60)*	-0.002 (-0.003)	4.06 (11.69)*	3.51 (11.23)*	6.10 (4.57)*	4.57 (13.22)*	0.64 (0.42)			
Level of Poverty (%)	-0.04 (-3.86)*						-0.02 (-2.84)*			
Mother's Education		1.82 (4.89)*					1.46 (4.45)*			
Education Institutions to Population Ratio			0.39 (0.95)				0.50 (1.74)***			
Government Education Expenditure				0.25 (3.02)*			0.19 (3.33)*			
Pupil-Teacher Ratio					-0.05 (-1.31)		-0.34 (-0.12)			
Unemployment Rate						-0.07 (68)	0.06 (0.90)			
Adjusted R-square	0.284	0.396	-0.003	0.188	0.020	-0.016	0.638			
F-statistics	14.91*	23.95*	0.90	9.11*	1.72	0.46	11.26*			

Note that the number in the parentheses are the *t*-ratios. The asterisk *, **, *** indicate that the coefficient is significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent of level of significance.

most significant indicator even in all variables regression. The coefficient of this variable means that a 1 year increase in the mother's education will increase the MYS of children by one and a half year. Public expenditure on the education sector is the second most significant determinant in the final regression. Although the level of poverty is significantly impacting MYS but its coefficient is not much large, supporting the hypothesis that poverty is not a strong determinant of education attainment, as reported in the literature review.

Although the variable on the pupil-teacher ratio turns out to be insignificant but its sign is negative which means that the quality of education does matter for the education of the children. It is also important to point out that the variable on the household's head education and household's size were also tried but these turned out to be highly insignificant, therefore dropped out of the analysis. Mother's education is the most significant determinant of MYS...

... a 1 year increase in mother's education increases the education of children by one and a half year.

Recommendations and Strategies

6

This research report has four complimentary objectives. First, to calculate the stock of human capital by towns and districts of Punjab. This has been done based on the largest data set for the province. Second, to describe the extent of gender disparities in education attainment that persist in Punjab. The third objective is to identify the main factors that impact the stock of human capital and cause gender disparities across the districts. The fourth objective is to review the education policies of the Punjab government related to education sector and suggest new policy directions.

Chapters 3, 4 and 5 concentrated on the first three objectives. Analyses of these chapters reveal a very shady state of education of towns, districts and the Punjab province. The level of education is less, wider access to education remain low and high level of gender inequalities in education persist not only in the backward areas but also significantly in the developed areas of Punjab and the gaps are huge. For a detail on the level of human capital stock and gender disparities have a look at Chapters 3 and 4. For determinants of human capital stock and gender disparities have a look at Chapter 5 and district education profiles given in Part 3 of the report. This chapter focuses on reviewing the past policies, reforms and actions of the Government of Punjab and suggest new policy directions.

Education is a moral, social and economic right of every human being. Government is responsible for the provision of quality education to its people without any discrimination to gender, social caste, age, ethnicity class, language and religion. With the given state of education in Punjab, it seems that the government will have to do a lot in order to increase the level of education of the people, specifically for the education of girls in order to remove the gender disparities. Although it will take time to achieve these goals but the government need to pay immediate attention to take firm actions.

Here are some recommendations and strategies that the Government of Punjab should adopt while in the process of reforming the sector. First of all there is a need to change the typically viewed notion of policymakers and implementers on treating females as homogenous to males in formulating policies while they are well-aware of factors and barriers that affect women's access to educational opportunities especially in the backward and rural areas of Punjab. By presupposing this homogeneity, efforts of the government to institute gender-based policies do not benefit females and create an environment that poses obstacles to girls' abilities to learn and complete school. Analyses of this report confirms this point that past policies, actions and reforms with this flawed gender perception had no benefits to girls' education and created large gender disparities in education attainment.

Besides this crucial point, past policies, reforms and actions of the Government of Punjab further lacks a number of crucial points and directions that should be considered in making future policies. These points are:

• Policies at the transitional-stages of the schooling. A major chunk of the children drop-out of the school or discontinue their education in the

transitional stages of schooling (for example, from primary to secondary and secondary to tertiary and so on). To prevent this phenomenon and to motivate children to continue their education, especially to girls, some policies should be developed. These could be preventive, retrieval of dropouts, re-entry programs and counselling of students on their problems and goals in schools, etc.

- **Completion rates as policy goals.** All the policies, reforms and actions of the Government of Punjab focused only on increasing the enrolment rates while it is equally important to consider the rate of completion of children at primary, secondary, or higher level of schooling. For example, it is very important to make policy goals as by what percentage of children will complete primary, secondary or higher level of schooling by a certain year. These policy targets has never been considered before.
- Consideration of resource differences across districts. As mentioned before, the government need to consider the resource differences across the districts. For example, there are many districts in Punjab that do not have a HEC recognized university. This mean that if children from such districts want to pursue higher education they have to travelled to other districts or to the big cities like Lahore which make education costly and hard to attain. Similarly, number of schools at the middle and higher secondary levels are far less than the population requirements. Same is the situation with other resources related to the education sectors. The government need to consider these resource constraints at the district level as these differ greatly from district to district. Doing this will expand access to learning opportunities, in particular for girls and women.
- Policies lack regional or local contexts. While there are some policy goals that could be same for all districts but it is not appropriate to set every goal/target same for the whole province because of large differences in years of schooling across districts and towns. These variations are due to many reasons, constraints, resource difference and other barriers. To make policies at the local level, the government first need to identify district specific magnitudes of them, that is, policy process at the local level should be supported by concrete research. There are some underlying conditions that maybe applicable to all districts, however, the diversity of needs within gender groups need to be properly researched.
- Knowledge products/outcomes. Along with considering the enrolment and completion rates it is also very important to consider outcomes of children's education attainment. As in education will lead them to what ends. Because getting education does not mean that a child should come to know how to read or write only rather it entails much broader goal which is concerned with the knowledge and skills of the children. An increase in the number of children with education or increasing the literacy rate does not mean that the level of human capital is also increasing. Therefore, along with the quantity of the schooling it is also necessary to increase the effective quality of schooling
- Equity-based approach to education. It is very important that government consider the role of women in the policymaking process of the education sector. Because women better know what type of difficulties a girl can face during her years of schooling. This will not only make the policymaking *equity-based* but will also empower women at least in the education sector.

- **Trainings on gender related issues.** Another policy failure of the Government of Punjab is lack of training on issues and problems related to the gender. Such trainings will increase the capacity of the policy-makers, planners, teachers and other education personnel regarding gendersensitive issues.
- Societal/personal barriers to education need to be removed. Although it is not in control of the government to remove such barriers but the government can impact people's perception about education by introducing some campaigns at the local level that highlight the positives of the education on the children's future. But before this could be possible the government need to identify what type of societal/personal barriers exist at the local level and by what magnitudes. The societal/personal barriers will differ across districts and regions.
- Indicators of district rankings need to be added with new indicators and analysed by more sophisticated techniques. A very good exercise maintained by the Government of Punjab is to review the quarterly progress of schools on three areas: access, governance and quality. The purpose of this exercise is to evaluate the performance of the sector. These indicators, however, need to be improved further by adding new indicators to better the monitoring and evaluation of policies. Also the analysis of the indicators could be made more useful by adopting more technical tools of analyses. The choice of which depends on the goals and objectives of the policies, reforms and action plans of the government.
- **Health of children.** Besides these suggestion on the education sector the government should also focus on health of the people and children in especial. For example, to improve health of children policies should be introduce to provide facilities of health at the school level and nutrition services, etc.

Part 3 District Education Profiles

"Our school education ignores, in a thousand ways, the rules of healthy development."

Elizabeth Blackwell

Complete Lists of Districts and Towns by Mean Years of Schooling and Gender Parity Index

Table A: List of Districts									
District	Rank	Gender Parity Index	Male	Female					
Lahore	1	0.794	7.2	5.7					
Sialkot	2	0.744	6.0	4.5					
Gujranwala	3	0.702	6.1	4.3					
Gujrat	4	0.682	6.5	4.4					
Faisalabad	5	0.656	6.2	4.0					
Toba Tek Singh	6	0.652	6.0	3.9					
Sahiwal	7	0.629	5.7	3.6					
Rawalpindi	8	0.625	8.0	5.0					
Sheikhupura	9	0.623	5.3	3.3					
Chakwal	10	0.604	7.2	4.4					
Jhelum	11	0.601	7.0	4.2					
Mandi Bahaudin	12	0.589	6.2	3.7					
Nankana Sahib	13	0.581	5.6	3.3					
Multan	14	0.578	5.7	3.3					
Hafizabad	15	0.556	5.2	2.9					
Attock	16	0.553	6.4	3.5					
Narowal	17	0.553	6.5	3.6					
Bahawalnagar	18	0.532	5.0	2.7					
Sargodha	19	0.527	5.6	3.0					
Vehari	20	0.526	5.2	2.7					
Okara	21	0.523	4.8	2.5					
Pakpattan	22	0.520	4.6	2.4					
Khanewal	23	0.518	6.1	3.1					
Rahim Yar Khan	24	0.506	4.9	2.5					
Bahawalpur	25	0.504	4.7	2.4					
Kasur	26	0.488	4.8	2.4					
Dera Ghazi Khan	27	0.470	5.4	2.5					
Layyah	28	0.450	5.0	2.3					
Rajanpur	29	0.442	4.0	1.8					
Muzaffargarh	30	0.440	4.8	2.1					
Chiniot	31	0.409	4.8	2.0					
Jhang	32	0.406	5.2	2.1					
Mianwali	33	0.386	6.0	2.3					
Bhakkar	34	0.378	4.7	1.8					
Khushab	35	0.366	5.8	2.1					
Lodhran	36	0.353	4.5	1.6					

Table B: List of Towns										
District	Town/Tehsil	Rank	Gender-Parity Index	Male	Female					
Lahore	Data Ganj Bakhsh Town	1	0.889	8.3	7.3					
Lahore	Shalimar Town	2	0.876	8.2	7.2					
Lahore	Samanabad Town	3	0.870	10.1	8.8					
Sialkot	Sialkot	4	0.860	6.4	5.5					
Lahore	Gulberg Town	5	0.849	9.3	7.9					
Lahore	Cantonment	6	0.811	8.1	6.6					
Lahore	Ravi Town	7	0.799	6.8	5.4					
Lahore	lqbal Town	8	0.772	6.6	5.1					
Lahore	Aziz Bhatti Town	9	0.770	5.8	4.5					
Gujranwala	Nandipur Town	10	0.758	6.6	5.0					
Gujranwala	Aroop Town	11	0.756	6.6	5.0					
Faisalabad	Jinnah Town	12	0.754	6.4	4.8					
Rawalpindi	Rawal Town	13	0.752	9.4	7.0					
Gujranwala	Wazirabad Town	14	0.742	6.1	4.5					
Nankana Sahib	Sangla Hill	15	0.740	6.0	4.5					
Sialkot	Sambrial	16	0.738	6.2	4.6					
Faisalabad	Madina Town	17	0.724	6.6	4.8					
Sialkot	Daska	18	0.715	5.9	4.3					
Gujranwala	Qila Dedar Singh Town	19	0.709	6.2	4.4					
Gujrat	Gujrat	20	20 0.704		4.5					
Gujrat	Kharian	21	0.697	6.4	4.5					
Faisalabad	lqbal Town	22	0.693	6.2	4.3					
Toba Tek Singh	Toba Teb Singh	23	0.692	6.6	4.5					
Toba Tek Singh	Gojra	24	0.689	6.0	4.1					
Multan	Bosan Town	25	0.683	6.6	4.5					
Jhelum	Jhelum	26	0.676	7.7	5.2					
Sargodha	Sargodha	27	0.670	6.5	4.3					
Rawalpindi	Potohar Town	28	0.668	7.9	5.3					
Rawalpindi	Kahuta Town	29	0.667	8.0	5.4					
Gujranwala	Khialli Shahpur Town	30	0.666	5.7	3.8					
Faisalabad	Chak Jhumra Town	31	0.660	6.6	4.3					
Faisalabad	Lyallpur Town	32	0.657	6.4	4.2					
Lahore	Wagha Town	33	0.656	5.6	3.7					
Gujranwala	Kamoke Town	34	0.656	6.0	3.9					
Faisalabad	Sammundri Town	35	0.654	6.6	4.3					
Chakwal	Chakwal	36	0.654	7.4	4.9					
Rawalpindi	Murree Town	37	0.649	7.6	4.9					
Lahore	Nishtar Town	38	0.644	6.0	3.8					
Multan	Shah Rukn-e-Alam Town	39	0.639	6.7	4.3					
Sheikhupura	Sheikhupura	40	0.638	5.7	3.6					
Sahiwal	Sahiwal	41	0.637	5.9	3.7					
Sheikhupura	Sharaqpur	42	0.634	5.8	3.6					

District	Town/Tehsil	Rank	Gender-Parity Index	Male	Female
Hafizabad	Hafizabad	43	0.628	5.7	3.6
Mandi Bahaudin	Mandi Bahauddin	44	0.625	6.5	4.0
Attock	Pindi Gheb	45	0.623	6.3	3.9
Rawalpindi	Gujar Khan Town	46	0.623	7.7	4.8
Sahiwal	Chichawatni	47	0.620	5.6	3.4
Attock	Hassanabdal	48	0.619	6.3	3.9
Sialkot	Pasrur	49	0.617	5.6	3.4
Faisalabad	Jaranwala Town	50	0.616	5.8	3.6
Bahawalnagar	Chishtian	51	0.615	4.8	3.0
Jhelum	Dina	52	0.612	7.2	4.4
Gujrat	Sarai Alamgir	53	0.612	6.8	4.2
Sheikhupura	Ferozewala	54	0.610	4.7	2.9
Vehari	Burewala	55	0.608	5.7	3.5
Sheikhupura	Muridke	56	0.605	5.5	3.3
Multan	Sher Shah Town	57	0.602	6.0	3.6
Nankana Sahib	Shahkot	58	0.602	6.1	3.7
Rawalpindi	Taxila Town	59	0.599	8.0	4.8
Bahawalnagar	Haroonabad	60	0.595	5.6	3.3
Gujranwala	Naushera Virkan Town	61	0.588	5.3	3.1
Chakwal	Kalar Kahar	62	0.585	6.8	4.0
Attock	Attock	63	0.583	7.1	4.1
Bahawalpur	Bahawalpur City	64	0.582	5.4	3.1
Sargodha	Sillanwali	65	0.580	5.8	3.4
Multan	Mousa Pak (Shaheed) Town	66	0.579	5.3	3.1
Chakwal	Choa Saiden Shah	67	0.579	7.1	4.1
Okara	Okara	68	0.577	5.0	2.9
Pakpattan	Arifwala	69	0.569	5.0	2.8
Mandi Bahaudin	Malakwal	70	0.568	6.3	3.6
Narowal	Narowal	71	0.567	6.4	3.6
Mandi Bahaudin	Phalia	72	0.565	5.8	3.3
Chakwal	Talagang	73	0.564	7.2	4.1
Rahim Yar Khan	Rahim Yar Khan	74	0.564	5.4	3.0
Bahawalnagar	Fort Abbas	75	0.558	5.4	3.0
Narowal	Shakargarh	76	0.556	6.9	3.8
Toba Tek Singh	Kamalia	77	0.554	5.3	2.9
Khanewal	Khanewal	78	0.553	6.0	3.3
Attock	Fateh Jang	79	0.550	5.7	3.2
Bahawalpur	Yazman	80	0.549	4.7	2.6
Jhelum	Sohawa	81	0.549	6.5	3.6
Khanewal	Mian Channu	82	0.545	6.3	3.4
Vehari	Vehari	83	0.542	5.1	2.8
Nankana Sahib	Safdarabad	84	0.542	5.8	3.1
Okara	Ranala Khurd	85	0.532	6.0	3.2
Bahawalpur	Hasilpur	86	0.528	5.2	2.8

District	Town/Tehsil	Rank	Gender-Parity Index	Male	Female
Jhelum	Pind Dadan Khan	87	0.527	6.4	3.4
Attock	Jand	88	0.520	7.4	3.8
Rawalpindi	Kallar Syedan Town	89	0.520	7.5	3.9
Rajanpur	Rajanpur	90	0.518	4.5	2.3
Layyah	Karor	91	0.518	5.3	2.7
Rawalpindi	Kotli Sattian Town	92	0.518	7.7	4.0
Khanewal	Jahanian	93	0.517	6.4	3.3
Sargodha	Bhalwal	94	0.515	5.4	2.8
Rahim Yar Khan	Sadiqabad	95	0.514	4.8	2.5
Layyah	Layyah	96	0.513	5.8	3.0
Narowal	Zafarwal	97	0.512	6.2	3.2
Rahim Yar Khan	Khanpur	98	0.510	4.9	2.5
Kasur	Kasur	99	0.505	4.5	2.3
Muzaffargarh	Muzaffargarh	100	0.498	4.7	2.3
Chiniot	Lalian	101	0.496	4.9	2.4
DG Khan	Taunsa	102	0.496	6.0	3.0
Kasur	Chunian	103	0.493	4.6	2.3
Nankana Sahib	Nankana Sahib	104	0.489	5.0	2.4
Jhang	Shorkot	105	0.486	5.7	2.8
Kasur	Kot Radha Kishan	106	0.478	5.7	2.7
Bahawalnagar	Bahawalnagar	107	0.475	5.0	2.4
Kasur	Pattoki	108	0.472	4.8	2.3
Multan	Shujabad Town	109	0.469	5.1	2.4
Pakpattan	Pakpattan	110	0.469	4.3	2.0
Khanewal	Kabirwala	111	0.465	5.8	2.7
Bahawalpur	Bahawalpur Sadar	112	0.463	4.7	2.2
Rajanpur	Jampur	113	0.461	4.4	2.0
Bahawalpur	Ahmadpur East	114	0.459	4.4	2.0
Faisalabad	Tandlianwala Town	115	0.458	4.9	2.3
DG Khan	DG Khan	116	0.457	5.0	2.3
Okara	Depalpur	117	0.453	4.0	1.8
Muzaffargarh	Alipur	118	0.447	5.2	2.3
Khushab	Khushab	119	0.441	6.0	2.7
Chiniot	Chiniot	120	0.437	4.6	2.0
Hafizabad	Pindi Bhattian	121	0.435	4.6	2.0
Bhakkar	Bhakkar	122	0.434	5.6	2.5
Vehari	Mailsi	123	0.423	4.8	2.0
Jhang	Jhang	124	0.423	5.3	2.2
Rahim Yar Khan	Liaquatpur	125	0.421	4.5	1.9
Bhakkar	Darya Khan	126	0.420	4.3	1.8
Muzaffargarh	Kot Addu	127	0.415	4.9	2.0
Jhang	Ahmadpur Sial	128	0.405	4.8	2.0
Mianwali	Mianwali	129	0.405	6.6	2.7
Sargodha	Shahpur	130	0.403	5.0	2.0

District	Town/Tehsil	Rank	Gender-Parity Index	Male	Female
Sargodha	Sahiwal	131	0.396	5.8	2.3
Attock	Hazro	132	0.388	5.8	2.3
Mianwali	Piplan	133	0.380	5.9	2.2
Multan	Jalalpur Pirwala Town	134	0.379	4.1	1.6
Sargodha	Kot Momin	135	0.372	4.6	1.7
Lodhran	Dunyapur	136	0.371	5.3	2.0
Muzaffargarh	Jatoi	137	0.369	4.2	1.5
Bhakkar	Kalur Kot	138	0.368	4.6	1.7
Bahawalnagar	Minchinabad	139	0.358	4.1	1.5
Mianwali	lsa Khel	140	0.353	5.3	1.9
Lodhran	Karor Pacca	141	0.350	3.9	1.4
Lodhran	Lodhran	142	0.341	4.5	1.6
Bahawalpur	Khairpur Tamewali	143	0.330	3.6	1.2
Khushab	Qaidabad	144	0.304	5.5	1.7
Jhang	18 - Hazari	145	0.279	4.6	1.3
Khushab	Nurpur Thal	146	0.272	5.7	1.5
Chiniot	Bhowana	147	0.267	5.0	1.3
Layyah	Chobara	148	0.248	3.9	1.0
Bhakkar	Mankera	149	0.239	4.2	1.0
Rajanpur	Rojhan	150	0.185	2.5	0.5

Data Sources and Glossary

Data Sources

The data used for the districts profile is mostly calculated from the MICS4 database. Other sources of data are as follows:

Indicator	Data source
Population, Schools and Colleges	Punjab Development Statistics 2012
Number of Universities	HEC recognized universities: http://www.hec.gov.pk/Ourinstitutes/pag es/Default.aspx
Multiple Deprivation Index	Jamal (2011)
Economic Development Index	Annual Review of IPP (2012)
Level of Poverty	Jamal (2013)

Glossary

Mostly, the indicators given in district profiles are self-explanatory. However, the values of the following indicators need to be explained. For more detailed methodology of the indicators, the readers are advised to consult the sources of data.

Economic Development Index is a composite index consisting of three sub-indices Income and Wealth, Education and Health and Economic Infrastructure. The lower the rank of the district in this index, the higher is the level of development of the district.

Education Attainment for the age-group of 25 & above is a commonly used measure of skills available in the population and the labor force. It is calculated by dividing the population has attained secondary, higher secondary and tertiary education by the population of age-group 25 years & above.

Multiple Derivation Index consists of 17 indicators to cover a range of social, housing and economic deprivations. The higher the ranking of the district is, the more deprived it is in the above mentioned dimensions.

Net School Attendances: The net attendance rate at primary level is the percentage of children of primary school age (5-9 Years) attending primary or secondary school. In case of secondary net attendance rate, the children of middle/secondary school age (10-14 years) attending secondary school or higher (adjusted net attendance ratio), and percentage of children attending primary school. Similarly, the pre-school attendance is percentage of children of aged 3-4 years attending pre-school.

Out of School Children: Out of school children at primary level is the percentage of primary school age children (5-9 Years) that are not attending school. Similarly, the percentage of secondary school age children (10-14 Years) that are not attending school.

List of Districts

District	Page #
Attock	47
Bahawalnagar	48
Bahawalpur	49
Bhakkar	50
Chakwal	51
Chiniot	52
Dera Gazi Khan	53
Faisalabad	54
Gujranwala	55
Gujrat	56
Hafizabad	57
Jhang	58
Jhelum	59
Kasur	60
Khanewal	61
Khushab	62
Lahore	63
Layyah	64
Lodhran	65
Mandi Bahaudin	66
Mianwali	67
Multan	68
Muzaffargarh	69
Nankana Sahib	70
Narowal	71
Okara	72
Pakpattan	73
Raheem Yar Khan	74
Rajanpur	75
Rawalpindi	76
Sahiwal	77
Sargodha	78
Sheikhupura	79
Sialkot	80
Toba Tek Singh	81
Vehari	82

Attock

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	idary	Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.553	4.9	6.4	3.5	29.5	36.3	23.4	21.5	31.1	12.9	6.9	7.3	6.5
Town													
Attock	0.583	5.5	7.1	4.1	26.7	32.4	21.6	25.0	33.5	17.3	9.8	11.5	8.2
Fateh Jang	0.550	4.4	5.7	3.2	30.8	39.3	22.9	17.6	25.9	9.9	5.4	4.8	5.9
Hazro	0.388	3.9	5.8	2.3	28.5	35.1	22.6	18.1	30.2	7.2	4.4	6.0	3.1
Hassanabdal	0.619	5.1	6.3	3.9	32.6	40.5	25.1	21.8	30.6	13.4	7.1	6.1	8.1
Jand	0.520	5.4	7.4	3.8	29.0	32.7	25.9	25.8	39.9	14.3	8.2	9.9	6.8
Pindi Gheb	0.623	5.1	6.3	3.9	29.2	36.6	22.5	21.9	29.4	15.2	6.7	6.3	7.0

Additional Data

Population (00	00)			1,573	Net School Attendance (% of school age pop	ulation) ^b		
% Urban				21.2	Pre-School - Boys	46.4		
% Rural				78.8	- Girls	40.0		
Percentage of	Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	77.4		
Overall				28.8	- Girls	75.6		
Male				17.0	Secondary - Boys	55.5		
Female				40.1	- Girls	54.7		
Number of Education Institutions					Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-group)			
Schools ^a				1374	Primary Level - Boys	5.8		
Colleges				168	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	9.9		
Universiti	es			0	Secondary Level - Boys	6.3		
Pupil-Teacher	Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	6.7		
Primary –	- Secondary			31.4 - 24.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c			
Students per S	School				Government/Public	53.3		
Primary –	- Secondary			86.9 - 204.2	Private	46.1		
Education of t	he Family Head	(Years)		2.6	Level of Poverty (%)			
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.6	Overall	27.1		
Ranking in Mu	Itiple Deprivation	on Index (out	t of 36)	13	Urban	28.7		
Ranking in the	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	ıt of 35)	24	Rural	26.7		
Percentage of	Population who	can Read o	r Write		Household Member Size	6.1		
Read	Male	13.9	Female	27.9	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	5.0		
Write	Male	16.3	Female	34.2	above)			

Bahawalnagar

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary	Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
	0 5 2 2	2.0	F 0	2.7	26.0	22.4	10.4	16.4	22.5	10.1	Γ 1	C 1	4.1
District	0.532	3.9	5.0	2.7	26.0	32.4	19.4	16.4	22.5	10.1	5.1	6.1	4.1
Town													
Bahawalnagar	0.475	3.7	5.0	2.4	23.2	29.2	17.1	14.2	20.5	7.8	6.5	8.2	4.8
Chishtian	0.615	3.9	4.8	3.0	28.0	34.0	21.6	15.4	20.6	9.9	5.7	6.2	5.2
Fort Abbas	0.558	4.2	5.4	3.0	29.3	35.6	22.7	17.8	23.6	11.8	5.3	6.2	4.3
Haroonabad	0.595	4.5	5.6	3.3	27.0	32.1	22.0	22.0	29.0	15.1	4.5	5.0	4.1
Minchinabad	0.358	2.8	4.1	1.5	22.2	31.2	13.1	12.5	19.4	5.6	2.9	4.1	1.6
	01000	2.0		2.0		01.1	1011	12.00		0.0			1.0

Additional Data

Population (000)			2,584	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	ion)	
% Urban				19.1	Pre-School - Boys	28.3	
% Rural				80.9	- Girls	28.7	
Percentage of Population Never Attended School (10 years and above				ears and above)	Primary - Boys	54.5	
Overall				39.1	- Girls	52.8	
Male				29.6	Secondary - Boys	31.5	
Female				48.8	- Girls	29.0	
Number of Education Institutions				Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-group)			
Schools				2,421	Primary Level - Boys	20.3	
Colleges				62	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	25.5	
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	18.9	
Pupil-Teacher Ratio					(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	25.5	
Primary	 Secondary 			38.1 – 22.3	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c		
Students per	School				Government/Public	72.2	
Primary	 Secondary 			91.8 - 203.4	Private	26.5	
Education of	the Family Head (Y	'ears)		2.3	Level of Poverty (%)		
Mothers' Edu	ucation (Years)			2.2	Overall	39.0	
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivation	Index (out	of 36)	28	Urban	49.3	
Ranking in th	e Economic Develo	pment (ou	t of 35)	28	Rural	36.4	
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Write		Household Member Size	6.5	
Read	Male	24.6	Female	35.3	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	4.7	
Write	Male	26.5	Female	38.5	above)		

Bahawalpur

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of			Educati	on Attainr	nent (25	years and	above)		
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary	,
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.504	3.5	4.7	2.4	24.2	30.3	17.9	14.0	20.2	7.7	5.3	6.1	4.5
Town													
Ahmadpur East	0.459	3.2	4.4	2.0	22.3	28.6	16.1	12.8	18.7	7.0	5.0	6.2	3.9
Bahawalpur City	0.582	4.3	5.4	3.1	23.0	29.6	16.2	15.8	21.4	10.1	9.4	10.2	8.6
Bahawalpur Sadar	0.463	3.5	4.7	2.2	25.8	34.4	16.6	13.7	19.3	7.7	4.5	5.5	3.5
Hasilpur	0.528	4.0	5.2	2.8	25.1	29.9	20.6	16.3	24.6	8.5	5.2	5.3	5.0
Khairpur Tamewali	0.330	2.4	3.6	1.2	22.0	31.2	12.2	9.5	14.4	4.4	3.1	4.6	1.5
Yazman	0.549	3.7	4.7	2.6	26.0	29.8	22.2	14.9	21.6	8.1	4.8	5.2	4.3

Additional Data

Population (000)				3,247	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	tion) ^b
% Urban				27.3	Pre-School - Boys	22.2
% Rural				73.7	- Girls	24.4
Percentage o	f Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	46.8
Overall				43.1	- Girls	41.9
Male				33.6	Secondary - Boys	28.7
Female				52.5	- Girls	29.2
Number of E	lucation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	-group)
Schools ^a				2,040	Primary Level - Boys	30.1
Colleges				58	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	36.7
Universit	ies			1	Secondary Level - Boys	27.0
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	32.6
Primary	– Secondary			35.8 – 22.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	57.2
Primary	 Secondary 			88.7 – 211.9	Private	42.3
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.2	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.1	Overall	48.5
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivation	on Index (out	: of 36)	31	Urban	44.1
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	33	Rural	50.4
Percentage o	f Population who	o can Read oi	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Read	Male	28.2	Female	40.4	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	5.0
Write	Male	30.5	Female	43.3	above)	

Bhakkar

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of			Educati	on Attainr	nent (25	years and	above)		
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	Higher Secondary			Tertiary	
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.378	3.3	4.7	1.8	24.0	34.4	13.7	12.3	18.9	5.7	4.3	5.4	3.1
Town													
Bhakkar	0.434	4.0	5.6	2.5	25.8	34.5	17.6	15.3	22.6	8.4	6.3	8.6	4.2
Darya Khan	0.420	3.1	4.3	1.8	21.7	30.8	12.4	13.1	19.2	6.8	3.4	3.9	2.9
Kalur Kot	0.368	3.1	4.6	1.7	27.6	39.6	15.6	11.1	17.7	4.5	3.3	3.9	2.7
Mankera	0.239	2.6	4.2	1.0	20.9	33.1	8.2	9.0	15.3	2.4	3.5	4.6	2.2

Additional Data

Population (000)				3,208	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	tion) ^b
% Urbar	ı			16.0	Pre-School - Boys	24.0
% Rural				84.0	- Girls	27.1
Percentage of	of Population Never	Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	53.4
Overall				43.1	- Girls	46.2
Male				29.0	Secondary - Boys	39.9
Female				57.4	- Girls	27.5
Number of E	ducation Institution	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Schools	נ			1,515	Primary Level - Boys	20.2
Colleges	;			22	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	25.5
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	13.7
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	28.7
Primary	– Secondary			43.6 – 29.3	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	77.5
Primary	– Secondary			99.8 – 278.3	Private	21.0
Education of	the Family Head (Y	'ears)		2.2	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.0	Overall	35.2
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivation	Index (out	of 36)	27	Urban	51.3
Ranking in th	ne Economic Develo	opment (ou	t of 35)	22	Rural	32.3
Percentage of	of Population who c	an Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.3
Read	Male	22.7	Female	42.5	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.6
Write	Male	23.7	Female	44.0	above)	

Chakwal

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Number of Years of		Education Attainment (25 years and above)										
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.604	5.6	7.2	4.4	32.0	33.9	30.5	26.3	38.2	16.5	7.1	7.9	6.4	
Town														
Chakwal	0.654	6.0	7.4	4.9	31.7	31.5	32.0	28.2	39.9	18.3	9.0	10.0	8.1	
Choa Saiden Shah	0.579	5.6	7.1	4.1	36.2	38.6	34.1	25.8	38.2	14.7	4.3	4.0	4.5	
Kalar Kahar	0.585	5.2	6.8	4.0	33.2	35.0	31.8	24.1	36.7	14.4	5.4	6.3	4.8	
Talagang	0.564	5.5	7.2	4.1	27.6	32.3	23.8	25.9	36.8	17.1	8.0	9.7	6.6	

Additional Data

Popula	Population (000)			1,307	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^{<i>b</i>}
%	6 Urban			12.2	Pre-School - Boys	43.0
%	6 Rural			87.8	- Girls	33.9
Perce	ntage of Population N	ever Attended S	chool (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	79.9
C	Overall			23.2	- Girls	76.0
Ν	/lale			13.3	Secondary - Boys	66.6
F	emale			31.6	- Girls	62.5
Numb	er of Education Institu	utions			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ag	e-group)
S	chools ^a			1,292	Primary Level - Boys	5.2
С	olleges			44	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	8.0
U	Iniversities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	6.4
Pupil-	Teacher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	9.0
Р	rimary – Secondary			26.6 - 22.0	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Stude	nts per School				Government/Public	59.0
Р	rimary – Secondary			66.3 – 216.4	Private	40.8
Educa	tion of the Family Hea	ad (Years)		2.88	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothe	ers' Education (Years)			2.91	Overall	13.9
Ranki	ng in Multiple Depriva	tion Index (out	of 36)	21	Urban	15.1
Ranki	ng in the Economic De	velopment (out	of 35)	15	Rural	13.7
Perce	ntage of Population w	ho can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	5.4
Rea	d Male	11.7	Female	25.1	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	4.2
Wri	te Male	12.7	Female	28.2	above)	

Chiniot

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ears of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.409	3.4	4.8	2.0	24.0	33.0	14.8	13.1	19.6	6.5	4.4	5.5	3.3	
Town														
Chiniot	0.437	3.3	4.6	2.0	22.4	29.5	14.8	12.6	18.0	6.9	4.3	5.7	2.9	
Bhowana	0.267	3.1	5.0	1.3	24.1	36.6	12.0	12.3	21.3	3.4	3.7	5.0	2.3	
Lalian	0.496	3.6	4.9	2.4	25.8	34.6	17.1	14.4	20.3	8.5	5.2	5.7	4.7	

Additional Data

Populatio	Population (000)			1,163	Net School Attendance (% of school age population	tion) ^{<i>b</i>}
% Ur	ban			26.8	Pre-School - Boys	26.6
% Ru	ral			73.2	- Girls	28.7
Percentag	ge of Population Neve	r Attended Sc	hool (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	57.2
Over	all			45.4	- Girls	44.2
Male	2			31.6	Secondary - Boys	34.2
Fema	ale			59.4	- Girls	25.4
Number o	of Education Institutio	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	group)
Scho	ols ^a			887	Primary Level - Boys	16.7
Colle	ges			22	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	32.2
Unive	ersities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	14.9
Pupil-Tea	cher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) – Girls	30.1
Prim	ary – Secondary			41.0 - 30.2	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	69.6
Prim	ary – Secondary			109.1 – 255.5	Private	29.7
Educatior	n of the Family Head ((ears)		2.21	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers'	Education (Years)			2.08	Overall	32.8
Ranking i	n Multiple Deprivatior	n Index (out o	f 36)	21	Urban	39.7
Ranking i	n the Economic Develo	opment (out o	of 35)	NA	Rural	67.4
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			/rite		Household Member Size	6.4
Read	Male	25.4	Female	45.7	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.2
Write	Male	26.8	Female	47.2	above)	

Dera Gazi Khan

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schooling			Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.470	3.9	5.4	2.5	18.9	25.4	12.6	16.0	22.3	10.0	7.9	10.7	5.2	
Town														
Dera Ghazi Khan	0.457	3.6	5.0	2.3	17.3	23.3	11.5	14.0	20.2	8.2	7.7	10.5	5.1	
Taunsa	0.496	4.5	6.0	3.0	22.0	29.2	14.6	20.0	26.1	13.7	8.3	11.1	5.5	

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,241	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Ur	ban			13.9	Pre-School - Boys	12.5
% Rι	ıral			86.1	- Girls	12.1
Percenta	ge of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	42.4
Ove	rall			43.9	- Girls	36.1
Male	2			31.7	Secondary - Boys	26.3
Fem	ale			56.4	- Girls	20.0
Number	of Education Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Scho	ols ^a			1,621	Primary Level - Boys	37.6
Colle	eges			24	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	45.2
Univ	ersities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	25.6
Pupil-Tea	icher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	38.8
Prim	ary – Secondary			45.0 - 29.0	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	68.7
Prim	ary – Secondary			116.2 – 264.4	Private	30.8
Educatio	n of the Family Head	(Years)		2.29	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers	Education (Years)			2.06	Overall	64.0
Ranking i	n Multiple Deprivation	on Index (out	of 36)	35	Urban	39.7
Ranking i	n the Economic Deve	elopment (ou	t of 35)	34	Rural	67.4
Percenta	ge of Population who	o can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Read	Male	23.2	Female	35.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.1
Write	Male	24.7	Female	41.0	above)	

Faisalabad

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	choolin	8	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary	Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.656	5.1	6.2	4.0	30.5	34.0	27.0	23.2	30.5	15.9	6.4	7.0	5.7
Town													
Chak Jhumra	0.660	5.5	6.6	4.3	33.9	35.4	32.4	25.2	33.9	16.5	5.7	6.2	5.2
Iqbal	0.693	5.2	6.2	4.3	33.6	37.9	29.2	22.8	28.1	17.3	6.1	6.7	5.5
Jinnah	0.754	5.6	6.4	4.8	32.6	35.8	29.2	27.8	34.3	20.9	6.1	5.8	6.3
Lyallpur	0.657	5.3	6.4	4.2	34.0	37.3	30.6	23.0	29.5	16.2	6.9	8.3	5.5
Madina	0.724	5.7	6.6	4.8	29.2	32.9	25.5	25.6	32.0	19.1	8.7	9.0	8.4
Jaranwala	0.616	4.7	5.8	3.6	27.0	30.3	23.8	21.2	29.3	13.3	6.6	7.1	6.1
Sammundri	0.654	5.4	6.6	4.3	31.2	33.1	29.3	26.0	33.9	18.4	6.1	7.5	4.7
Tandlianwala	0.458	3.6	4.9	2.3	23.7	29.8	17.6	15.7	24.6	6.7	4.6	5.0	4.2

Additional Data

Population (000)				6,873	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	tion) ^b
% Urban				42.7	Pre-School - Boys	37.6
% Rural				57.3	- Girls	35.6
Percentage o	f Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	66.2
Overall				27.8	- Girls	67.2
Male				20.6	Secondary - Boys	48.3
Female				35.0	- Girls	49.6
Number of Ec	lucation Institution	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	·group)
Schools ^a				2,498	Primary Level - Boys	11.1
Colleges				158	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	12.2
Universit	ies			5	Secondary Level - Boys	9.8
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	12.5
Primary	 Secondary 			41.0 - 32.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	43.5
Primary	 Secondary 			148.2 - 340.3	Private	56.4
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.29	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.06	Overall	27.4
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	14	Urban	27.0
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	6	Rural	27.8
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Household Member Size	6.5		
Read	Male	17.1	Female	27.0	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.7
Write	Male	18.4	Female	28.9	above)	

Gujranwala

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender Number of Years of				Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	Higher Secondary				Tertiary	
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.702	5.2	6.1	4.3	30.6	32.3	28.8	24.3	30.9	17.6	5.6	6.2	5.0
Town													
Aroop	0.756	5.8	6.6	5.0	32.5	34.9	30.1	27.1	32.2	21.8	6.2	6.9	5.6
Khialli Shahpur	0.666	4.7	5.7	3.8	31.5	32.9	29.9	20.7	26.9	14.1	4.7	5.3	4.1
Nandipur	0.758	5.8	6.6	5.0	28.6	28.0	29.2	28.2	35.1	20.8	7.6	8.2	7.0
Qila Dedar Singh	0.709	5.3	6.2	4.4	29.7	34.1	25.0	26.1	31.5	20.3	6.1	6.6	5.6
Naushera Virkan	0.588	4.3	5.3	3.1	29.5	32.1	26.8	19.3	26.5	12.0	3.8	4.9	2.6
Kamoke	0.656	4.9	6.0	3.9	31.1	32.2	29.9	24.2	32.7	15.6	4.9	5.6	4.2
Wazirabad	0.742	5.3	6.1	4.5	31.3	31.5	31.0	24.6	31.5	17.9	5.9	6.1	5.8

Additional Data

Population (000)				4,441	Net School Attendance (% of school age populati	on) ^{<i>b</i>}
% Urbar	I			50.5	Pre-School - Boys	42.0
% Rural				49.5	- Girls	41.9
Percentage of	of Population Neve	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	70.9
Overall				25.6	- Girls	72.2
Male				21.0	Secondary - Boys	44.5
Female				30.3	- Girls	52.0
Number of E	ducation Institutio	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Schools	1			1,962	Primary Level - Boys	9.0
Colleges				90	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	8.6
Universi	ties			1	Secondary Level - Boys	8.9
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	10.1
Primary	 Secondary 			40.2 - 30.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	38.7
Primary	 Secondary 			110.7 – 335.2	Private	61.0
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.53	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.73	Overall	25.9
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	2	Urban	26.2
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	10	Rural	25.7
Percentage o	of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.7
Read	Male	17.2	Female	23.0	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.6
Write	Male	18.1	Female	24.0	above)	

Gujrat

Mean Years of Schooling

Gender Number of Years of				ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary	
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.682	5.4	6.5	4.4	35.4	38.7	32.5	25.0	33.2	18.1	6.3	6.4	6.1
Town													
Gujrat	0.704	5.4	6.4	4.5	35.3	38.9	32.1	24.0	30.3	18.6	6.6	7.3	6.0
Kharian	0.697	5.4	6.4	4.5	35.1	39.2	31.7	25.8	34.5	18.6	6.2	5.9	6.5
Sarai Alamgir	0.612	5.3	6.8	4.2	36.1	37.3	35.1	25.5	37.1	16.0	5.5	5.6	5.4

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,525	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	ion)
%	Urban			27.7	Pre-School - Boys	45.0
%	Rural			72.3	- Girls	46.4
Percen	tage of Population N	ever Attended S	chool (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	73.7
0\	verall			21.2	- Girls	80.2
M	ale			14.0	Secondary - Boys	51.8
Fe	male			27.7	- Girls	57.4
Numbe	r of Education Instit	utions			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Scl	nools ^a			1,713	Primary Level - Boys	7.0
Со	lleges			80	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	5.0
Un	iversities			1	Secondary Level - Boys	3.6
Pupil-T	eacher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) – Girls	5.1
Pr	imary – Secondary			33.9 – 26.7	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Studen	ts per School				Government/Public	54.6
Pr	imary – Secondary			99.1 – 258.2	Private	44.8
Educati	ion of the Family Hea	ad (Years)		2.62	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothe	rs' Education (Years)			2.86	Overall	24.1
Rankin	g in Multiple Depriva	ation Index (out o	of 36)	3	Urban	25.2
Rankin	g in the Economic De	evelopment (out	of 35)	16	Rural	23.7
Percen	tage of Population w	ho can Read or V	Write		Household Member Size	6.3
Read	Male	14.5	Female	23.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.3
Write	e Male	16.0	Female	25.9	above)	

Hafizabad

Mean Years of Schooling

	Canalan	NI			Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Gender	Num	per of Ye	ars of		Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity		choolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.556	4.1	5.2	2.9	25.3	29.9	20.4	17.3	23.9	10.3	5.8	6.8	4.7	
Town														
Hafizabad	0.628	4.7	5.7	3.6	28.2	32.2	23.9	20.3	27.6	12.6	6.7	7.6	5.8	
Pindi Bhattian	0.435	3.3	4.6	2.0	21.4	26.7	15.7	13.2	18.9	7.1	4.6	5.8	3.2	

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,031	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ⁶
% U	rban			27.3	Pre-School - Boys	30.6
% Rı	ural			72.7	- Girls	23.7
Percenta	ge of Population Neve	r Attended Sch	iool (10 ye	ars and above)	Primary - Boys	69.6
Ove	rall			36.0	- Girls	62.2
Mal	e			27.4	Secondary - Boys	38.2
Fem	ale			45.2	- Girls	35.0
Number	of Education Institutio	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Scho	ools ^a			892	Primary Level - Boys	15.1
Colle	eges			10	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	21.2
Univ	versities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	14.5
Pupil-Tea	acher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	18.8
Prim	nary – Secondary			46.9 – 27.8	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	63.2
Prin	nary – Secondary			101.5 – 257.5	Private	36.4
Educatio	n of the Family Head (rears)		2.31	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers	' Education (Years)			2.33	Overall	29.1
Ranking	in Multiple Deprivation	n Index (out of	36)	11	Urban	32.8
Ranking	in the Economic Develo	opment (out of	f 35)	4	Rural	27.3
Percenta	ge of Population who	can Read or W	rite		Household Member Size	6.2
Read	Male	21.6	Female	29.2	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.6
Write	Male	24.0	Female	36.3	above)	

Jhang

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.406	3.6	5.2	2.1	24.9	33.8	16.0	15.8	23.9	7.7	4.5	5.7	3.2
Town													
Ahmadpur Sial	0.405	3.3	4.8	2.0	25.1	35.7	15.2	14.3	22.3	6.8	3.6	4.0	3.3
18 - Hazari	0.279	2.9	4.6	1.3	25.9	38.1	14.2	12.0	20.1	4.3	2.5	4.0	1.0
Jhang	0.423	3.8	5.3	2.2	23.3	30.2	16.1	16.8	25.4	7.8	5.5	6.7	4.3
Shorkot	0.486	4.2	5.7	2.8	26.6	34.7	18.3	19.2	26.0	12.2	5.2	6.9	3.6

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,308	Net School Attendance (% of school age popu	lation) ^b
% Urba	in			27.5	Pre-School - Boys	26.8
% Rura	I			72.5	- Girls	24.5
Percentage	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	57.2
Overal	I			40.5	- Girls	58.4
Male				26.0	Secondary - Boys	45.2
Female	5			55.0	- Girls	24.8
Number of	Education Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ag	ge-group)
School	S ^a			1,990	Primary Level - Boys	16.5
College	25			56	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	24.9
Univer	sities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	11.4
Pupil-Teach	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	24.6
Primar	y – Secondary			39.7 – 28.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students pe	er School				Government/Public	70.9
Primar	y – Secondary			100.8 – 294.7	Private	28.7
Education of	of the Family Head	(Years)		2.32	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' E	ducation (Years)			2.08	Overall	29.0
Ranking in	Multiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	of 36)	29	Urban	32.8
Ranking in	the Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	17	Rural	27.3
Percentage	of Population who	o can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.2
Read	Male	20.4	Female	40.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.1
Write	Male	21.0	Female	41.2	above)	

Jhelum

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender Number of Years of			ears of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary	
Index		Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.601	5.5	7.0	4.2	38.5	42.0	35.5	26.2	36.2	17.6	5.8	7.0	4.7
Town													
Dina	0.612	5.7	7.2	4.4	40.6	43.2	38.3	27.3	37.1	18.9	5.7	6.9	4.7
Jhelum	0.676	6.3	7.7	5.2	39.1	39.6	38.6	30.3	40.0	21.6	8.1	9.2	7.0
Pind Dadan Khan	0.527	4.8	6.4	3.4	33.8	40.0	28.2	22.6	32.7	13.5	5.1	6.6	3.7
Sohawa	0.549	4.9	6.5	3.6	40.7	46.8	35.9	23.2	33.2	15.3	3.3	4.0	2.7

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,141	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation)
9	6 Urban			27.7	Pre-School - Boys	60.0
%	6 Rural			72.3	- Girls	41.9
Perce	ntage of Population Neve	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	79.2
C	Dverall			18.7	- Girls	83.9
P	Male			9.5	Secondary - Boys	59.9
F	emale			27.0	- Girls	26.6
Numb	er of Education Institutio	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ago	e-group)
S	chools ^a			1,035	Primary Level - Boys	3.6
C	Colleges			38	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	4.6
ι	Iniversities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	4.2
Pupil-	Teacher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	5.0
F	Primary – Secondary			29.5 – 25.0	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Stude	nts per School				Government/Public	61.6
F	Primary – Secondary			72.9 – 214.2	Private	38.2
Educa	ition of the Family Head (Years)		2.89	Level of Poverty (%)	
Moth	ers' Education (Years)			2.96	Overall	18.6
Ranki	ng in Multiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	8	Urban	25.2
Ranki	ng in the Economic Devel	opment (ou	t of 35)	8	Rural	16.3
Perce	ntage of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.0
Rea	id Male	11.0	Female	23.9	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	0.9
Wri	i te Male	12.4	Female	26.8	above)	

Kasur

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary	
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.794	0.488	3.6	4.8	20.7	24.3	16.8	17.0	25.1	8.3	4.4	5.0	3.7
Town													
Chunian	0.493	3.5	4.6	2.3	19.6	25.0	14.1	15.4	23.1	7.5	5.0	5.2	4.7
Kasur	0.505	3.5	4.5	2.3	21.1	24.1	17.6	16.4	23.0	8.8	3.6	4.6	2.6
Kot Radha Kishan	0.478	4.2	5.7	2.7	22.7	25.5	19.8	20.2	30.7	9.2	4.9	5.5	4.4
Pattoki	0.472	3.6	4.8	2.3	19.7	23.0	16.2	17.1	25.7	8.0	4.5	5.2	3.7

Additional Data

Population (000)				3,040	Net School Attendance (% of school age popu	lation) ^b
% Ur	ban				Pre-School - Boys	28.2
% Ru	ral				- Girls	26.6
Percentag	e of Population Ne	ever Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	59.7
Over	all			41.9	- Girls	58.6
Male	1			33.7	Secondary - Boys	34.5
Fema	ale			50.5	- Girls	30.6
Number o	of Education Institu	tions			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ag	ge-group)
Scho	ols ^a			1,612	Primary Level - Boys	18.3
Colle	ges			162	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	20.8
Unive	ersities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	16.0
Pupil-Tea	cher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	19.8
Prim	ary – Secondary			47.5 – 35.9	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	59.1
Prim	ary – Secondary			137.0 - 3885	Private	40.7
Education	of the Family Hea	d (Years)		2.22	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers'	Education (Years)			2.19	Overall	43.2
Ranking i	n Multiple Deprivat	tion Index (out	of 36)	16	Urban	48.5
Ranking i	n the Economic Dev	velopment (ou	t of 35)	7	Rural	41.4
Percentag	ge of Population wh	no can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.8
Read	Male	26.2	Female	37.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.6
Write	Male	28.0	Female	40.4	above)	

Khanewal

Mean Years of Schooling

Gender Number of Years of				ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity	Schooling			Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.518	4.7	6.1	3.1	27.8	33.0	22.3	19.7	27.8	11.0	6.2	7.5	4.8	
Town														
Jahanian	0.517	4.9	6.4	3.3	29.3	34.0	24.1	21.1	29.7	11.6	6.4	7.9	4.7	
Kabirwala	0.465	4.2	5.8	2.7	27.1	33.5	20.5	17.9	26.8	8.5	4.9	5.5	4.4	
Khanewal	0.553	4.7	6.0	3.3	26.9	31.5	22.0	19.5	26.2	12.1	7.1	8.7	5.2	
Mian Channu	0.545	4.9	6.3	3.4	28.5	33.3	23.5	21.1	29.2	12.5	6.7	8.2	5.1	

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,604	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^{<i>b</i>}
%	6 Urban			17.6	Pre-School - Boys	17.7
%	6 Rural			82.4	- Girls	19.6
Perce	ntage of Population Neve	r Attended S	ichool (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	61.0
C	Overall			34.0	- Girls	53.9
Ν	/lale			23.9	Secondary - Boys	38.5
F	emale			44.8	- Girls	33.6
Numb	er of Education Institutio	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ag	e-group)
S	chools ^a			1,607	Primary Level - Boys	20.3
С	olleges			98	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	23.5
U	Iniversities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	15.3
Pupil-	Teacher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	21.9
Р	rimary – Secondary			44.2 – 30.7	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Stude	nts per School				Government/Public	77.8
Р	rimary – Secondary			130.8 – 295.5	Private	22.0
Educa	tion of the Family Head (Years)		2.54	Level of Poverty (%)	
Moth	ers' Education (Years)			2.36	Overall	37.9
Ranki	ng in Multiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	26	Urban	41.1
Ranki	ng in the Economic Devel	opment (out	of 35)	26	Rural	37.2
Perce	ntage of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Rea	d Male	18.3	Female	32.3	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.7
Wri	te Male	19.4	Female	33.7	above)	

Khushab

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.366	3.9	5.8	2.1	25.3	36.3	15.4	16.6	26.3	7.8	4.8	6.6	3.1	
Town														
Khushab	0.441	4.2	6.0	2.7	27.1	37.3	18.6	17.6	26.7	10.1	5.5	7.4	3.9	
Nurpur Thal	0.272	3.5	5.7	1.5	24.7	37.2	12.9	15.1	25.1	5.8	4.7	7.4	2.1	
Qaidabad	0.304	3.6	5.5	1.7	22.9	33.8	11.7	16.3	26.8	5.5	3.7	4.5	2.8	

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,098	Net School Attendance (% of school age population	ion)
%	Urban			25.2	Pre-School - Boys	35.4
%	Rural			74.8	- Girls	28.0
Percen	tage of Population Neve	r Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	66.8
O	verall			39.3	- Girls	58.4
М	ale			22.8	Secondary - Boys	48.0
Fe	emale			54.3	- Girls	38.6
Numbe	er of Education Institutio	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Sc	hools ^a			1,049	Primary Level - Boys	14.9
Co	olleges			34	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	24.6
Ur	niversities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	10.3
Pupil-T	eacher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	17.2
Pr	imary – Secondary			32.2 - 24.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Studen	its per School				Government/Public	63.7
Pr	imary – Secondary			91.6 - 249.7	Private	35.7
Educat	ion of the Family Head (`	Years)		2.49	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothe	rs' Education (Years)			2.20	Overall	29.2
Rankin	g in Multiple Deprivation	n Index (out	of 36)	15	Urban	39.2
Rankin	g in the Economic Devel	opment (ou	t of 35)	20	Rural	25.5
Percen	tage of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Read	M ale	17.8	Female	41.1	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	4.7
Writ	e Male	19.0	Female	43.4	above)	

Lahore

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	idary	Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.794	6.5	7.2	5.7	23.2	24.4	21.9	29.0	33.2	24.4	13.4	14.6	12.1
Town													
Cantonment	0.815	7.3	8.1	6.6	23.2	25.5	20.6	26.5	30.1	22.7	20.2	22.2	18.1
Aziz Bhatti	0.776	5.2	5.8	4.5	26.7	26.4	27.1	23.4	28.4	18.2	7.5	8.2	6.7
Data Ganj Bakhsh	0.880	7.8	8.3	7.3	24.0	24.3	23.8	35.7	39.5	31.7	16.5	16.5	16.5
Gulberg	0.849	8.6	9.3	7.9	19.7	20.8	18.4	34.7	37.6	31.4	25.0	26.6	23.1
Iqbal	0.773	5.9	6.6	5.1	21.2	23.2	19.0	25.3	28.0	22.3	12.4	14.5	10.2
Nishtar	0.633	5.0	6.0	3.8	22.1	24.9	18.9	23.8	30.1	16.7	8.3	9.3	7.2
Ravi	0.794	6.1	6.8	5.4	26.5	26.0	26.9	32.4	37.2	26.9	7.6	9.1	5.9
Samanabad	0.871	9.5	10.1	8.8	16.2	16.9	15.6	40.4	42.6	37.9	27.1	28.8	25.2
Shalimar	0.878	7.7	8.2	7.2	25.7	26.2	25.1	37.7	40.6	34.5	15.2	16.4	13.9
Wagha	0.661	4.7	5.6	3.7	25.6	27.5	23.6	20.9	27.1	14.2	5.7	6.6	4.7

Additional Data

Population (000)				8,739	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	ion)
% Urban				82.0	Pre-School - Boys	44.5
% Rural				18.0	- Girls	38.6
Percentage of	Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	66.5
Overall				25.0	- Girls	66.2
Male				21.5	Secondary - Boys	51.6
Female				28.8	- Girls	53.2
Number of Ed	lucation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Schools ^a				1,233	Primary Level - Boys	10.6
Colleges				26	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	13.3
Universit	ies			30	Secondary Level - Boys	13.6
Pupil-Teacher	Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	14.0
Primary -	- Secondary			42.1 - 35.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	35.0
Primary -	- Secondary			177.7 – 465.1	Private	64.7
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.77	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.99	Overall	27.0
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	of 36)	1	Urban	24.9
Ranking in the	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	1	Rural	37.4
Percentage of	f Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Read	Male	17.5	Female	21.7	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.7
Write	Male	18.9	Female	23.5	above)	

Layyah

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numl	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity		Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary				
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female		
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3		
District	0.450	3.7	5.0	2.3	26.7	35.1	18.3	14.3	21.7	6.9	4.6	5.5	3.7		
Town															
Chobara	0.248	2.5	3.9	1.0	24.2	35.0	13.1	9.3	16.0	2.4	1.6	2.5	0.6		
Karor	0.518	4.0	5.3	2.7	27.3	32.4	22.4	16.9	25.6	8.5	4.7	5.8	3.6		
Layyah	0.513	4.4	5.8	3.0	28.5	37.3	19.2	16.5	23.3	9.2	7.0	7.6	6.4		

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,500	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	ion) ^b
% Urbai	n			12.9	Pre-School - Boys	23.5
% Rural				87.1	- Girls	23.0
Percentage	of Population Neve	r Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	52.6
Overall				37.7	- Girls	48.9
Male				25.3	Secondary - Boys	38.0
Female				50.3	- Girls	29.8
Number of E	Education Institutio	ns			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Schools	a			1,576	Primary Level - Boys	17.5
College	S			24	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	20.7
Univers	ities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	12.5
Pupil-Teach	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	20.8
Primary	 V – Secondary 			45.8 - 24.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students pe	r School				Government/Public	78.5
Primary	 V – Secondary 			101.0 – 229.7	Private	20.8
Education of	f the Family Head (Years)		2.24	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.12	Overall	44.6
Ranking in N	/ultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	24	Urban	48.1
Ranking in t	he Economic Devel	opment (ou	t of 35)	29	Rural	44.0
Percentage	of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.9
Read	Male	21.2	Female	34.2	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.0
Write	Male	23.6	Female	40.5	above)	

Lodhran

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.353	3.1	4.5	1.6	20.4	28.6	11.7	12.9	19.5	5.9	4.1	5.9	2.3
Town													
Dunyapur	0.371	3.7	5.3	2.0	21.6	29.2	13.6	15.9	23.5	7.7	5.1	7.4	2.6
Karor Pacca	0.350	2.6	3.9	1.4	18.6	27.4	9.3	10.5	15.1	5.6	3.6	5.4	1.6
Lodhran	0.341	3.1	4.5	1.6	20.9	29.1	12.2	12.7	20.2	4.8	3.9	5.0	2.7

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,516	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urbar	1			14.5	Pre-School - Boys	11.6
% Rural				85.5	- Girls	19.6
Percentage of	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	49.6
Overall				46.9	- Girls	34.5
Male				36.0	Secondary - Boys	31.9
Female				58.1	- Girls	22.4
Number of E	ducation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools	1			873	Primary Level - Boys	33.3
Colleges				30	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	44.0
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	26.0
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	35.7
Primary	 Secondary 			41.5 – 24.8	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	73.2
Primary	 Secondary 			101.9 – 236.9	Private	25.0
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.15	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.05	Overall	42.4
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	: of 36)	32	Urban	56.5
Ranking in th	ne Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	31	Rural	40.2
Percentage of	of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	5.8
Read	Male	26.9	Female	42.1	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	6.3
Write	Male	28.2	Female	44.2	above)	

Mandi Bahaudin

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	ler Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity	9	Schooling		Secon	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.589	4.9	6.2	3.7	29.8	31.9	27.8	20.7	29.3	12.3	6.3	7.3	5.2	
Town														
Malakwal	0.568	5.0	6.3	3.6	27.5	31.1	24.0	23.0	32.5	13.6	6.9	8.2	5.5	
Mandi Bahauddin	0.625	5.2	6.5	4.0	33.3	35.8	30.9	19.9	26.9	12.9	6.5	6.9	6.1	
Phalia	0.565	4.5	5.8	3.3	27.8	28.1	27.6	19.7	29.4	10.6	5.5	7.1	4.0	

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,384	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^{<i>b</i>}
% Urb	ban			15.2	Pre-School - Boys	41.7
% Rui	ral			84.8	- Girls	45.7
Percentag	e of Population Nev	ver Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	76.4
Overa	all			28.1	- Girls	69.0
Male				21.1	Secondary - Boys	35.1
Fema	le			34.8	- Girls	47.4
Number o	f Education Institut	ions			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schoo	ols ^a			972	Primary Level - Boys	8.4
Colle	ges			22	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	7.2
Unive	ersities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	5.9
Pupil-Tead	cher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	9.0
Prima	ary – Secondary			45.3 - 31.5	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	60.4
Prima	ary – Secondary			118.9 – 312.4	Private	39.4
Education	of the Family Head	(Years)		2.52	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers'	Education (Years)			2.54	Overall	14.1
Ranking ir	n Multiple Deprivati	on Index (out	t of 36)	6	Urban	19.7
Ranking ir	the Economic Deve	elopment (ou	t of 35)	9	Rural	13.1
Percentag	e of Population who	o can Read oi	⁻ Write		Household Member Size	6.4
Read	Male	17.1	Female	27.1	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.2
Write	Male	17.7	Female	27.5	above)	
Muzaffargarh

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.440	3.4	4.8	2.1	21.3	29.6	13.0	13.1	18.9	7.4	5.7	7.3	4.0	
Town														
Alipur	0.447	3.8	5.2	2.3	17.7	24.3	11.3	13.8	18.6	9.1	7.1	9.6	4.7	
Jatoi	0.369	2.9	4.2	1.5	18.0	25.4	10.7	10.7	16.9	4.5	5.1	6.9	3.3	
Kot Addu	0.415	3.5	4.9	2.0	25.1	35.3	14.9	13.1	19.6	6.7	5.1	6.7	3.5	
Muzaffargarh	0.498	3.5	4.7	2.3	21.8	29.5	13.6	14.2	19.4	8.7	5.7	7.0	4.3	

Additional Data

Populatio	Population (000)			3,615	Net School Attendance (% of school age populat	tion) ^{<i>b</i>}
% Ur	ban			13.0	Pre-School - Boys	15.7
% Ru	ıral			87.0	- Girls	11.2
Percentag	ge of Population Nev	ver Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	49.3
Over	all			46.3	- Girls	42.5
Male	2			34.2	Secondary - Boys	25.2
Fema	ale			58.6	- Girls	18.5
Number o	of Education Institut	ions			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	group)
Scho	ols ^a			1,415	Primary Level - Boys	29.7
Colle	ges			30	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	37.3
Univ	ersities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	24.0
Pupil-Tea	cher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	35.5
Prim	ary – Secondary			34.9 – 27.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	79.6
Prim	ary – Secondary			89.7 – 284.1	Private	19.4
Education	n of the Family Head	(Years)		2.15	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers'	Education (Years)			1.99	Overall	58.2
Ranking i	n Multiple Deprivati	on Index (out	: of 36)	34	Urban	47.5
Ranking i	n the Economic Dev	elopment (ou	t of 35)	32	Rural	60.0
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Write		Household Member Size	6.6
Read	Male	25.7	Female	40.4	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.5
Write	Male	27.0	Female	43.2	above)	

Mianwali

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of		Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.386	4.1	6.0	2.3	22.3	31.8	13.4	17.9	28.4	8.0	6.0	7.1	4.9	
Town														
Isa Khel	0.353	3.6	5.3	1.9	20.4	29.7	11.3	16.5	26.2	6.8	4.4	5.1	3.6	
Mianwali	0.405	4.5	6.6	2.7	20.8	29.8	12.5	20.1	30.8	10.3	7.8	10.1	5.7	
Piplan	0.380	4.0	5.9	2.2	26.2	36.1	16.4	16.5	27.3	5.9	5.0	5.1	5.0	

Additional Data

Population (0	Population (000)			1,319	Net School Attendance (% of school age popu	lation) ^b
% Urban				20.8	Pre-School - Boys	21.8
% Rural				79.2	- Girls	15.4
Percentage o	f Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	64.6
Overall				38.5	- Girls	57.6
Male				22.4	Secondary - Boys	57.0
Female				54.4	- Girls	32.5
Number of Ec	lucation Institution	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding a	ge-group)
Schools ^a				1,560	Primary Level - Boys	20.4
Colleges				24	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	33.1
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	9.2
Pupil-Teacher	[•] Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	23.3
Primary -	 Secondary 			41.5 – 29.9	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	82.3
Primary ·	 Secondary 			127.4 – 357.7	Private	17.6
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.49	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.18	Overall	37.9
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	: of 36)	23	Urban	50.3
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	19	Rural	34.6
Percentage o	f Population who	can Read o	Write		Household Member Size	
Read	Male	17.5	Female	41.0	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.3
Write	Male	17.9	Female	42.0	above)	

Multan

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender			Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)							
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.578	4.5	5.7	3.3	26.7	32.9	20.1	17.0	22.1	11.7	8.4	10.4	6.3	
Town														
Bosan	0.683	5.6	6.6	4.5	26.2	32.4	19.3	17.6	21.2	13.6	14.4	16.1	12.7	
Mousa Pak (Shaheed)	0.579	4.2	5.3	3.1	30.1	37.3	22.4	16.5	20.9	12.0	5.9	7.3	4.4	
Shah Rukn-e-Alam	0.639	5.5	6.7	4.3	27.2	28.9	25.4	20.1	24.8	15.1	12.5	16.4	8.4	
Sher Shah	0.602	4.8	6.0	3.6	28.5	33.4	23.3	18.9	24.8	12.5	8.5	10.4	6.4	
Jalalpur Pirwala	0.379	2.8	4.1	1.6	22.6	33.6	11.8	11.0	16.0	6.2	3.2	4.6	1.9	
Shujabad	0.469	3.8	5.1	2.4	23.3	31.0	15.4	16.7	23.8	9.5	4.8	5.6	4.1	

Additional Data

Populatio	Population (000)			4,027	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	tion)
% Ur	ban			42.0	Pre-School - Boys	26.9
% Rι	ıral			58.0	- Girls	25.0
Percenta	ge of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	54.3
Ove	rall			34.8	- Girls	49.9
Male	2			25.3	Secondary - Boys	35.6
Fem	ale			44.8	- Girls	33.2
Number	of Education Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	-group)
Scho	ols ^a			1,974	Primary Level - Boys	20.7
Colle	eges			38	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	27.2
Univ	ersities			5	Secondary Level - Boys	17.1
Pupil-Tea	icher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	23.6
Prim	ary – Secondary			52.2 – 31.5	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	per School				Government/Public	53.8
Prim	ary – Secondary			130.2 – 305.3	Private	45.7
Educatio	n of the Family Head	(Years)		2.39	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers	Education (Years)			2.37	Overall	44.0
Ranking i	n Multiple Deprivation	on Index (out	t of 36)	22	Urban	34.1
Ranking i	n the Economic Deve	elopment (ou	t of 35)	23	Rural	50.1
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Write		Household Member Size	6.3
Read	Male	22.6	Female	32.8	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.0
Write	Male	24.7	Female	36.5	above)	

Nankana Sahib

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.581	4.4	5.6	3.3	27.8	30.9	24.7	20.4	28.4	12.4	4.5	5.2	3.9	
Town														
Shahkot	0.602	4.9	6.1	3.7	31.6	33.9	29.2	22.5	31.7	12.8	5.0	5.5	4.5	
Sangla Hill	0.740	5.2	6.0	4.5	30.8	33.5	28.1	23.0	29.0	16.9	6.2	5.8	6.6	
Nankana Sahib	0.489	3.7	5.0	2.4	22.4	26.2	18.7	17.3	25.0	9.9	3.9	5.2	2.7	
Safdarabad	0.542	4.5	5.8	3.1	30.5	33.3	27.7	21.2	30.1	11.9	3.5	4.4	2.6	

Additional Data

Populati	Population (000)			1,508	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	tion) ^{<i>b</i>}
% U	rban			15.1	Pre-School - Boys	38.0
% R	ural			84.9	- Girls	37.5
Percenta	age of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	66.6
Ove	erall			32.9	- Girls	61.3
Mal	e			25.2	Secondary - Boys	43.0
Ferr	nale			40.7	- Girls	33.2
Number	of Education Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	-group)
Scho	pols ^a			1,183	Primary Level - Boys	12.2
Coll	eges			18	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	16.7
Univ	versities			0	Secondary Level - Boys	10.8
Pupil-Tea	acher Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	15.8
Prin	nary – Secondary			42.3 – 24.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students	s per School				Government/Public	64.5
Prin	nary – Secondary			107.9 – 274.3	Private	34.9
Educatio	on of the Family Head	(Years)		2.46	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers	' Education (Years)			2.43	Overall	36.7
Ranking	in Multiple Deprivation	on Index (out	of 36)	17	Urban	53.7
Ranking	in the Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	18	Rural	32.3
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Write		Household Member Size	6.3
Read	Male	20.7	Female	31.3	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.5
Write	Male	22.5	Female	33.9	above)	

Narowal

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	er Secor	ndary		Tertiary	,
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.553	5.1	6.5	3.6	27.7	29.2	26.2	24.1	34.7	13.7	5.7	7.0	4.4
Town													
Narowal	0.567	5.0	6.4	3.6	30.0	32.1	27.8	23.6	32.8	13.8	4.9	5.9	3.8
Shakargarh	0.556	5.3	6.9	3.8	25.7	26.4	25.0	25.4	37.3	14.9	6.7	8.5	5.1
Zafarwal	0.512	4.7	6.2	3.2	27.9	29.4	26.4	22.2	33.3	10.9	5.0	6.2	3.7

Additional Data

Population (Population (000)			1,522	Net School Attendance (% of school age popul	ation) ^b
% Urban				12.2	Pre-School - Boys	37.0
% Rural				87.8	- Girls	35.6
Percentage o	f Population Neve	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	73.3
Overall				25.5	- Girls	76.2
Male				18.0	Secondary - Boys	51.2
Female				32.8	- Girls	52.2
Number of E	ducation Institutio	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding ag	e-group)
Schools ^a				1,482	Primary Level - Boys	8.2
Colleges				24	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	8.8
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	5.3
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	4.9
Primary	 Secondary 			35.4 – 29.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	59.3
Primary	 Secondary 			106.9 - 323.6	Private	40.3
Education of	the Family Head (Years)		2.55	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	ucation (Years)			2.58	Overall	36.2
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	t of 36)	9	Urban	43.4
Ranking in th	e Economic Devel	lopment (ou	t of 35)	27	Rural	35.0
Percentage o	f Population who	can Read o	[.] Write		Household Member Size	6.7
Read	Male	14.0	Female	24.8	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	0.9
Write	Male	14.9	Female	26.3	above)	

Okara

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.523	3.7	4.8	2.5	24.2	29.5	18.6	15.6	21.9	9.0	4.7	5.6	3.7
Town													
Depalpur	0.815	0.453	2.9	4.0	23.6	30.4	16.2	11.1	15.9	5.8	3.3	4.2	2.2
Okara	0.776	0.577	4.0	5.0	23.1	27.7	18.3	17.7	23.4	11.7	5.7	6.7	4.6
Ranala Khurd	0.880	0.532	4.7	6.0	27.5	30.5	24.1	21.2	31.0	10.4	5.7	6.5	5.0

Additional Data

Population (0	Population (000) % Urban			2,803	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urban				23.0	Pre-School - Boys	24.3
% Rural				77.0	- Girls	24.1
Percentage o	f Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	58.8
Overall				41.5	- Girls	49.0
Male				32.3	Secondary - Boys	31.3
Female				51.2	- Girls	26.9
Number of E	ducation Institution	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools ^a				1,622	Primary Level - Boys	19.9
Colleges				88	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	27.2
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	16.0
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	26.5
Primary	 Secondary 			43.3 - 34.5	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	73.1
Primary	 Secondary 			122.6 - 302.0	Private	26.5
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.24	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	ucation (Years)			2.20	Overall	38.1
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	of 36)	18	Urban	36.1
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	13	Rural	38.5
Percentage o	f Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	5.6
Read	Male	25.0	Female	38.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.7
Write	Male	20.8	Female	34.4	above)	

Pakpattan

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity		Schooling			Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female		
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3		
District	0.520	3.5	4.6	2.4	25.7	31.5	19.6	14.4	20.4	8.2	4.6	5.5	3.7		
Town															
Arifwala	0.569	3.9	5.0	2.8	25.3	29.7	20.7	16.6	22.9	10.3	5.4	6.3	4.6		
Pakpattan	0.469	3.2	4.3	2.0	26.0	33.1	18.5	12.4	18.2	6.4	3.9	4.8	3.0		

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,629	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urban				14.3	Pre-School - Boys	24.0
% Rural				85.7	- Girls	18.8
Percentage o	f Population Neve	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	53.8
Overall				41.3	- Girls	42.6
Male				31.5	Secondary - Boys	32.9
Female				51.4	- Girls	28.4
Number of Ec	lucation Institutio	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools ^a				932	Primary Level - Boys	19.0
Colleges				24	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	31.1
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	14.7
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	23.1
Primary	 Secondary 			51.5 – 34.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	71.6
Primary	 Secondary 			131.7 – 315.1	Private	27.3
Education of	the Family Head (Years)		2.26	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.22	Overall	45.3
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	30	Urban	36.4
Ranking in th	e Economic Devel	lopment (ou	t of 35)	21	Rural	46.9
Percentage o	f Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	5.7
Read	Male	27.6	Female	39.9	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.1
Write	Male	28.0	Female	40.7	above)	

Raheem Yar Khan

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	ender Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary				Tertiary	1
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.506	3.7	4.9	2.5	23.6	30.1	16.9	14.2	20.1	8.1	6.0	7.2	4.7
Town													
Khanpur	0.510	3.7	4.9	2.5	23.5	30.6	16.3	15.1	20.8	9.4	5.3	6.3	4.3
Liaquatpur	0.421	3.2	4.5	1.9	22.2	29.3	15.3	11.8	17.9	5.9	5.1	7.0	3.3
Raheem Yar Khan	0.564	4.2	5.4	3.0	22.9	28.9	16.8	16.1	22.0	9.9	7.6	8.6	6.5
Sadiqabad	0.514	3.7	4.8	2.5	25.6	31.5	19.2	13.7	19.7	7.3	5.6	6.6	4.6

Additional Data

Population (Population (000)			4,238	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urban	I			19.6	Pre-School - Boys	17.4
% Rural				80.4	- Girls	14.9
Percentage o	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	46.4
Overall				44.7	- Girls	42.1
Male				33.9	Secondary - Boys	28.4
Female				55.8	- Girls	24.2
Number of E	ducation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools	1			2,283	Primary Level - Boys	30.9
Colleges				32	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	40.0
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	25.8
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	34.7
Primary	 Secondary 			26.3 – 22.1	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	68.8
Primary	 Secondary 			76.1 - 217.3	Private	30.8
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.21	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.15	Overall	50.2
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivation	on Index (out	t of 36)	33	Urban	48.7
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	elopment (ou	t of 35)	30	Rural	50.6
Percentage of	of Population who	o can Read oi	⁻ Write		Household Member Size	6.8
Read	Male	25.9	Female	39.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	5.9
Write	Male	27.9	Female	41.9	above)	

Rajanpur

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of		Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.442	2.9	4.0	1.8	15.3	20.6	9.7	11.8	17.0	6.4	4.4	5.5	3.3	
Town														
Jampur	0.461	3.3	4.4	2.0	18.9	25.3	12.2	13.2	18.5	7.5	5.3	6.6	4.0	
Rajanpur	0.518	3.4	4.5	2.3	14.6	17.8	11.3	14.6	20.4	8.6	5.2	6.0	4.3	
Rojhan	0.185	1.5	2.5	0.5	10.7	17.5	3.5	5.6	9.4	1.5	1.9	2.9	0.9	

Additional Data

Population (0	Population (000)			1,500	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urban				14.5	Pre-School - Boys	4.6
% Rural				85.5	- Girls	4.1
Percentage of	Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	42.0
Overall				55.8	- Girls	33.3
Male				45.8	Secondary - Boys	24.4
Female				66.8	- Girls	12.1
Number of Ed	lucation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools ^a				2,958	Primary Level - Boys	47.5
Colleges				50	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	51.3
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	37.5
Pupil-Teacher	Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	53.7
Primary -	 Secondary 			42.9 – 29.5	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	81.4
Primary -	- Secondary			99.8 – 274.7	Private	18.0
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		1.99	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			1.84	Overall	57.8
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	t of 36)	36	Urban	52.9
Ranking in the	e Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	35	Rural	58.5
Percentage of	Population who	o can Read oi	Write		Household Member Size	6.1
Read	Male	32.4	Female	45.3	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.6
Write	Male	33.4	Female	46.6	above)	

Rawalpindi

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	per of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.625	6.4	8.0	5.0	32.4	34.5	30.5	29.8	41.1	19.2	9.3	9.9	8.7
Town													
Gujar Khan	0.623	6.2	7.7	4.8	34.2	36.0	32.7	31.7	44.3	20.6	6.5	6.7	6.3
Kahuta	0.667	6.6	8.0	5.4	35.1	37.5	32.9	31.5	43.6	20.7	9.2	8.3	10.0
Kallar Syedan	0.520	5.5	7.5	3.9	35.8	39.9	32.3	26.5	42.2	13.5	5.0	5.2	4.9
Kotli Sattian	0.518	5.8	7.7	4.0	36.3	39.6	33.2	29.5	44.9	15.1	4.2	4.5	4.0
Murree	0.649	6.2	7.6	4.9	39.0	42.5	35.6	27.8	36.5	19.3	7.1	7.6	6.5
Taxila	0.599	6.4	8.0	4.8	27.4	29.8	24.9	27.4	38.5	16.1	11.8	11.8	11.7
Potohar	0.668	6.6	7.9	5.3	27.9	28.7	27.0	29.2	38.7	19.8	12.0	13.1	11.0
Rawal	0.752	8.2	9.4	7.0	26.6	26.6	26.5	33.9	39.1	28.5	18.0	20.3	15.7

Additional Data

Population (Population (000)			4,358	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urbaı	า			55.9	Pre-School - Boys	49.0
% Rural				44.1	- Girls	44.4
Percentage	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	74.1
Overall				18.9	- Girls	74.9
Male				10.1	Secondary - Boys	62.4
Female				27.4	- Girls	61.2
Number of E	ducation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools	a			1,121	Primary Level - Boys	6.5
Colleges	5			20	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	8.1
Univers	ities			2	Secondary Level - Boys	5.7
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	7.9
Primary	– Secondary			34.3 - 24.2	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students pe	r School				Government/Public	48.0
Primary	– Secondary			90.2 - 233.2	Private	51.7
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		3.01	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.97	Overall	16.2
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	: of 36)	5	Urban	16.9
Ranking in t	he Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	3	Rural	15.5
Percentage	of Population who	can Read or	Write		Household Member Size	6.0
Read	Male	9.3	Female	21.9	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.6
Write	Male	10.4	Female	24.5	above)	

Sahiwal

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity	S	Schooling			Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.629	4.7	5.7	3.6	25.6	31.0	19.9	20.7	26.2	14.8	7.7	8.9	6.4	
Town														
Chichawatni	0.620	4.5	5.6	3.4	26.4	31.3	21.2	20.6	26.9	13.9	6.5	7.6	5.4	
Sahiwal	0.637	4.8	5.9	3.7	24.9	30.7	18.8	20.7	25.6	15.6	8.7	10.0	7.2	

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,257	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b
% Urban				16.4	Pre-School - Boys	17.4
% Rural				83.6	- Girls	20.2
Percentage of	f Population Neve	er Attended	School (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	58.9
Overall				34.2	- Girls	53.2
Male				25.5	Secondary - Boys	43.6
Female				43.0	- Girls	32.9
Number of Ec	lucation Institutio	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools ^a				1,197	Primary Level - Boys	20.9
Colleges				22	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	25.2
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	14.9
Pupil-Teacher	Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	20.9
Primary -	 Secondary 			46.7 – 30.2	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	73.3
Primary -	 Secondary 			138.7 – 311.7	Private	26.3
Education of	the Family Head (Years)		2.49	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.50	Overall	33.7
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivatio	n Index (out	of 36)	20	Urban	38.6
Ranking in th	e Economic Devel	lopment (ou	t of 35)	12	Rural	32.7
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Write		Household Member Size	5.8
Read	Male	20.8	Female	32.7	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	4.2
Write	Male	14.6	Female	25.5	above)	

Sargodha

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secon	dary or	Below	High	Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.527	4.3	5.6	3.0	29.5	37.3	22.3	18.2	26.8	10.2	5.7	6.3	5.2	
Town														
Bhalwal	0.515	4.0	5.4	2.8	29.1	35.7	23.2	16.7	25.5	8.7	5.9	6.9	4.9	
Kot Momin	0.372	3.1	4.6	1.7	29.6	41.3	18.4	12.0	19.5	4.9	2.4	2.7	2.1	
Sahiwal	0.396	4.0	5.8	2.3	27.0	36.7	18.4	18.3	29.6	8.3	3.9	4.6	3.3	
Sargodha	0.670	5.4	6.5	4.3	31.0	36.0	26.4	23.5	31.5	16.2	8.9	9.3	8.4	
Shahpur	0.403	3.4	5.0	2.0	26.9	36.2	18.1	14.8	23.7	6.3	4.1	4.9	3.4	
Sillanwali	0.580	4.6	5.8	3.4	32.2	39.6	25.1	18.7	26.9	11.0	5.9	6.1	5.8	

Additional Data

Population (Population (000)			3,208	Net School Attendance (% of school age population	tion) ^{<i>b</i>}
% Urban				28.1	Pre-School - Boys	39.7
% Rural				71.9	- Girls	37.2
Percentage o	f Population Never	Attended Sch	nool (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	67.3
Overall				32.1	- Girls	64.2
Male				20.5	Secondary - Boys	46.5
Female				43.0	- Girls	43.8
Number of E	ducation Institution	IS			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-	-group)
Schools ^a				2,274	Primary Level - Boys	10.1
Colleges				16	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	13.6
Universit	ies			1	Secondary Level - Boys	9.5
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) – Girls	11.4
Primary	– Secondary			38.3 – 25.9	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	60.0
Primary	– Secondary			105.5 – 272.2	Private	39.7
Education of	the Family Head (Y	ears)		2.49	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	ication (Years)			2.40	Overall	31.0
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivation	Index (out of	36)	19	Urban	27.7
Ranking in th	e Economic Develo	pment (out of	f 35)	14	Rural	32.1
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			rite		Household Member Size	6.2
Read	Male	17.7	Female	32.8	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	3.2
Write	Male	19.2	Female	35.6	above)	

Sheikhupura

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	oer of Ye	ars of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	Schooling		Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
District	0.623	4.4	5.3	3.3	27.3	30.3	24.0	19.5	25.9	12.7	4.9	5.7	4.1
Town													
Ferozewala	0.610	3.8	4.7	2.9	26.0	29.3	22.3	16.5	22.3	10.0	3.6	4.3	2.8
Muridke	0.605	4.4	5.5	3.3	30.0	33.0	26.8	20.6	27.4	13.6	3.9	4.8	2.9
Sharaqpur	0.634	4.7	5.8	3.6	26.4	29.5	23.0	20.9	26.5	14.9	6.2	7.4	4.9
Sheikhupura	0.638	4.7	5.7	3.6	26.9	29.7	24.0	20.8	28.0	13.2	6.3	6.7	5.9

Additional Data

Population (0	00)			2,639	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ition) ^b
% Urban				31.4	Pre-School - Boys	37.4
% Rural				68.6	- Girls	34.3
Percentage o	f Population Never	Attended So	chool (10 y	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	62.7
Overall				33.5	- Girls	64.2
Male				27.6	Secondary - Boys	42.7
Female				39.7	- Girls	41.8
Number of Ec	lucation Institution	is			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age	e-group)
Schools ^a				1,332	Primary Level - Boys	14.3
Colleges				32	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	15.4
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	12.5
Pupil-Teache	r Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	14.1
Primary	– Secondary			38.3 – 29.7	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c	
Students per	School				Government/Public	47.7
Primary	– Secondary			107.2 – 322.0	Private	51.8
Education of	the Family Head (Y	ears)		2.30	Level of Poverty (%)	
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.45	Overall	38.8
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivation	Index (out o	of 36)	10	Urban	36.6
Ranking in th	e Economic Develo	pment (out	of 35)	2	Rural	40.1
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write			Vrite		Household Member Size	6.7
Read	Male	22.6	Female	29.8	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.3
Write	Male	24.2	Female	32.1	above)	

Sialkot

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)									
	Parity	Schooling		Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary					
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female		
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3		
District	0.744	5.2	6.0	4.5	31.1	32.4	29.8	24.0	29.5	18.9	6.4	7.1	5.6		
Town															
Daska	0.815	0.715	5.1	5.9	30.3	32.2	28.5	22.6	27.9	17.6	6.5	7.0	6.0		
Pasrur	0.776	0.617	4.5	5.6	31.3	34.3	28.4	18.7	24.9	12.8	5.0	6.4	3.6		
Sambrial	0.880	0.738	5.3	6.2	33.7	33.3	34.0	24.0	31.3	17.2	6.1	6.5	5.8		
Sialkot	0.849	0.860	6.0	6.4	30.2	30.5	30.0	29.7	33.7	26.0	7.6	8.2	7.0		

Additional Data

Population (0	00)			3,433	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	tion) ^{<i>b</i>}			
% Urban				26.2	Pre-School - Boys	44.5			
% Rural				73.8	- Girls	41.3			
Percentage o	f Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 ye	ears and above)	Primary - Boys	73.9			
Overall				23.6	- Girls	77.6			
Male				19.9	Secondary - Boys	49.4			
Female				27.2	- Girls	56.0			
Number of Education Institutions					Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-group)				
Schools ^a				2,396	Primary Level - Boys	6.6			
Colleges				42	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	5.4			
Universit	ies			0	Secondary Level - Boys	8.2			
Pupil-Teacher	Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	7.4			
Primary ·	 Secondary 			32.3 – 25.8	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c				
Students per	School				Government/Public	43.0			
Primary ·	 Secondary 			94.7 – 278.2	Private	56.6			
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.47	Level of Poverty (%)				
Mothers' Edu	cation (Years)			2.83	Overall	23.1			
Ranking in M	ultiple Deprivati	on Index (out	t of 36)	4	Urban	21.7			
Ranking in th	e Economic Deve	elopment (ou	t of 35)	5	Rural	23.6			
Percentage o	f Population who	o can Read oi	Write		Household Member Size	6.6			
Read	Male	17.3	Female	21.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	1.4			
Write	Male	18.9	Female	23.7	above)				

Toba Tek Singh

Mean Years of Schooling

	Gender	Numb	Number of Years of			Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
	Parity	9	Schoolin	g	Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary			
	Index	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	
Punjab	0.580	4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3	
District	0.652	4.9	6.0	3.9	29.2	32.4	26.2	21.9	29.3	14.9	6.4	7.0	5.8	
Town														
Gojra	0.689	5.1	6.0	4.1	29.4	31.7	27.3	22.7	30.8	15.0	6.8	6.6	7.0	
Kamalia	0.554	4.1	5.3	2.9	27.5	31.4	23.7	17.8	25.7	10.2	4.7	5.3	4.0	
Toba Teb Singh	0.692	5.5	6.6	4.5	30.5	33.8	27.4	24.9	31.2	19.0	7.6	8.9	6.3	
-														

Additional Data

Population (000)				1,980	Net School Attendance (% of school age popul	ation) ^b			
% Urbar	ı			18.9	Pre-School - Boys	33.0			
% Rural				81.1	- Girls	28.3			
Percentage	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	Primary - Boys	68.3				
Overall				29.7	- Girls	67.8			
Male				22.3	Secondary - Boys	48.5			
Female				36.6	- Girls	46.4			
Number of E	ducation Instituti	ons			Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-group)				
Schools	2			1,349	Primary Level - Boys	13.2			
Colleges	5			64	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	13.5			
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	9.8			
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	13.1			
Primary	– Secondary			42.5 – 28.4	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c				
Students per	r School				Government/Public	66.0			
Primary	– Secondary			136.7 – 279.5	Private	33.8			
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.47	Level of Poverty (%)				
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.59	Overall	26.9			
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	of 36)	12	Urban	34.0			
Ranking in t	ne Economic Deve	lopment (ou	t of 35)	11	Rural	25.0			
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write					Household Member Size	6.4			
Read	Male	17.6	Female	28.4	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and	2.7			
Write	Male	18.5	Female	29.5	above)				

Vehari

Mean Years of Schooling

ler Num	ber of Ye	ears of	Education Attainment (25 years and above)								
ty	Schooling		Secondary or Below			Higher Secondary			Tertiary		
ex Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female	Overall	Male	Female
30 4.5	5.7	3.3	27.0	31.8	22.2	19.8	27.1	12.6	6.3	7.3	5.3
26 4.0	5.2	2.7	23.2	29.0	17.3	16.9	24.4	9.3	6.5	7.6	5.5
98 4.6	5.7	3.5	25.5	29.7	21.4	19.3	27.0	11.5	7.9	8.7	7.2
3 3.4	4.8	2.0	21.9	31.0	13.0	14.3	22.0	6.8	5.3	6.3	4.2
4.0	5.1	2.8	22.1	26.1	17.9	17.2	24.2	9.7	6.5	7.8	5.0
	Num ty Overall 30 4.5 26 4.0 08 4.6 23 3.4 42 4.0	Number of Ye Schoolin Overall Male 30 4.5 5.7 26 4.0 5.2 08 4.6 5.7 23 3.4 4.8 42 4.0 5.1	Aumber of Years	Admiser of Years	Administr of Years of Yea	Addition Schooling Secondary or Below Overall Male Female Overall Male Female 30 4.5 5.7 3.3 27.0 31.8 22.2 26 4.0 5.2 2.7 23.2 29.0 17.3 08 4.6 5.7 3.5 25.5 29.7 21.4 23 3.4 4.8 2.0 21.9 31.0 13.0 42 4.0 5.1 2.8 22.1 26.1 17.9	Addition Schooling Secondary or Below High Schooling Secondary or Below High Overall Male Female Overall Male Female Overall 30 4.5 5.7 3.3 27.0 31.8 22.2 19.8 26 4.0 5.2 2.7 23.2 29.0 17.3 16.9 08 4.6 5.7 3.5 25.5 29.7 21.4 19.3 23 3.4 4.8 2.0 21.9 31.0 13.0 14.3 42 4.0 5.1 2.8 22.1 26.1 17.9 17.2	Additional relation Number of Years of	Addition Schooling Secondary or Below Higher Secondary Overall Male Female Overall Male Female 30 4.5 5.7 3.3 27.0 31.8 22.2 19.8 27.1 12.6 26 4.0 5.2 2.7 23.2 29.0 17.3 16.9 24.4 9.3 08 4.6 5.7 3.5 25.5 29.7 21.4 19.3 27.0 11.5 23 3.4 4.8 2.0 21.9 31.0 13.0 14.3 22.0 6.8 42 4.0 5.1 2.8 22.1 26.1 17.9 17.2 24.2 9.7	Automber of Years of the schooling Secondary or Below Higher Secondary Overall Male Female Overall Male	Rumber of Years of Year

Additional Data

Population (000)				2,693	Net School Attendance (% of school age popula	ation) ^b		
% Urbar	า			16.1	Pre-School - Boys	31.6		
% Rural				83.9	- Girls	25.9		
Percentage of	of Population Nev	er Attended	School (10 y	Primary - Boys	53.3			
Overall				40.4	- Girls	53.4		
Male				30.6	Secondary - Boys	35.5		
Female				50.5	- Girls	33.7		
Number of E	ducation Instituti	ons		Out of School Children (% of corresponding age-group)				
Schools	2			1,484	Primary Level - Boys	23.8		
Colleges	5			14	(age, 5-9 years) - Girls	28.3		
Universi	ties			0	Secondary Level - Boys	21.6		
Pupil-Teache	er Ratio				(age, 10-14 years) - Girls	23.9		
Primary	– Secondary			44.8 - 28.8	Type of School Attended (%, of age 5-9) ^c			
Students per	r School				Government/Public	64.0		
Primary	– Secondary			126.6 – 289.2	Private	35.8		
Education of	the Family Head	(Years)		2.34	Level of Poverty (%)			
Mothers' Ed	ucation (Years)			2.27	Overall	45.8		
Ranking in N	Iultiple Deprivatio	on Index (out	: of 36)	25	Urban	36.6		
Ranking in the Economic Development (out of 35)			t of 35)	25	Rural	47.8		
Percentage of Population who can Read or Write					Household Member Size	5.9		
Read	Male	24.3	Female	38.6	Unemployment Rate (%, age 15 years and			
Write	Male	24.1	Female	38.4	above)			

References

- Al-Qudsi, Sulayman, 2003. Family Background, School Enrolments and Wastage: Evidence from Arab Countries". *Economics of Education Review*, 22 (6): pp. 567-80.
- Ahmed, Hamna *et al.* 2013. Determinants of School Choice: Evidence from Rural Punjab, Pakistan. Centre for Research in Economics and Business at Lahore School of Economics, Working Paper No. 01-13.
- Andrabi, T. *et al.* 2007. Learning and Educational Achievements in Punjab Schools (LEAPS): Insights to Inform the Education Policy Debate. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Angrist, Joshua and Lavy, Victor. 1999. Using Maimonides' Rule to Estimate the Effect of Class Size on Scholastic Achievement. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXIV: pp. 533-75.
- Aslam, Monazza. 2009. Education Gender Gaps in Pakistan: Is the Labor Market to Blame? *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 57(4): pp. 747-784.
- Barro, Robert J. 1991. Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2): pp. 407-43.
- Barro, Robert J. and Lee, Jong-Wha. 1993. International Comparisons of Educational Attainment. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32(3): pp. 363–394.
- Barro, Robert J. and Lee, Jong-Wha. 1994. Sources of Economic Growth. *Carnegie Conference Series on Public Policy*, 40: 1.
- Barro, Robert J. and Lee, Jong-Wha. 1996. International Measures of Schooling Years and Schooling Quality. *American Economic Review*, 86: pp. 218-23.
- Barro, Robert J. and Lee, Jong-Wha. 2001. *International data on educational attainment: Updates and implications*. Oxford Economic Papers, 3: pp. 541–563.
- Barro, Robert J. and Lee, Jong-Wha. 2013. A New Data Set of Educational Attainment in the World, 1950–2010. *Journal of Development Economics*, 104: pp. 184–198
- Basu, Kaushik and Van, Pham Hoang. 1998. *The Economics of Child Labor. American Economic Review*, 88 (3): pp. 412-27.
- Bhalotra, Sonia, 2001. Is child work necessary? *The World Bank Social Protection Discussion Papers*, No. 0121, Washington, D.C.
- Black, Sandra E. *et al.* 2005. The More the Merrier? The Effect of Family Size and Birth Order on Children's Education. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(2): pp. 669-700.
- Bleakley, Hoyt. 2010. Health, Human Capital, and Development. *Annual Review of Economics*, 2: pp. 283–310.
- Bommier, Antoine and Lambert, Sylvie, 2000. Education Demand and Age at School Enrolment in Tanzania. *Journal of Human Resources*, 35 (1): pp. 177-203.

- Breierova, L. and Duflo, E. 2004. The Impact of Education on Fertility and Child Mortality: Do Fathers Really Matter Less than Mothers? National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), Working Paper No. 10513.
- Case, Anne and Deaton, Angus. 1999. School Quality and Educational Outcomes in South Africa. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114 (3): pp. 1047–84.
- Chowdry, Haroon et al. 2010. Poorer Children's Educational Attainment: How Important are Attitudes and Behaviour? Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Cohen, D. and Soto, M. 2007. Growth and Human Capital: Good Data, Good Results. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12: pp. 51–76.
- Coley, Richard J. and Baker, Bruce. 2013. *Poverty and Education: Finding the Way Forward*. The ETS Center for Research on Human Capital and Education.
- Currie, Janet and Moretti, Enrico. 2003. Mother's Education and the Intergenerational Transmission of Human Capital: Evidence from College Openings and Longitudinal Data. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118: pp. 1495-1532.
- Cutler, D. et al. 2006. The Determinants of Mortality. The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20(3): pp. 97-120.
- De Gregorio J. and J.W. Lee. 2002. Education and Income Inequality: New Evidence from Cross-country data. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 48(3): 395–416.
- De Vreyer, Philippe, et al. 1998. Educating Children: A Look at Family behaviour in Cote d'Ivoire. Unpublished manuscript.
- Deloitte University Press, 2015. *Global Human Capital Trends* 2014: *Engaging the* 21stcentury workforce. A report by Deloitte Consulting LLP and Bersin by Deloitte.
- Diener, Ed and Suh, Eunkook. 1997. Measuring Quality of Life: Economic, Social, and Subjective Indicators. *Social Indicators Research*, 40: pp. 189–216.
- Glewwe, Paul and Jacoby, Hanan, 1994. Student Achievement and Schooling Choice in Low Income Countries: Evidence from Ghana. *Journal of Human Resources*, 29 (3): pp. 843–64.
- Glewwe, Paul. 2002. Schools and Skills in Developing Countries: Education Policies and Socioeconomic Outcomes. *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. XL: pp. 436-482.
- GoPun. 2011. Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, Provincial Report, Volume 1. *Punjab Bureau of Statistics*, Government of Punjab.
- Grisay, Aletta and Lars, Mählck. 1991. *Quality of Education in Developing Countries: A Review of Some Research Studies and Policy Documents.* International Institute for Educational Planning, UNESCO.
- Habib, Masooma. 2013. Education in Pakistan's Punjab: Outcomes and Interventions. *The Lahore Journal of Economics*, 18 (SE): pp. 21–48.
- Hammill, Matthew. 2006. Determinants of selected MDGs in the framework of the MAMS model for Nicaragua. *Public Policies for MDGs in Latin America and the Caribbean* (Background paper), ECLAC Subregional Office in Mexico, United Nations.
- Hanushek et al. 2008. Do Students Care about School Quality? Determinants of Dropout Behavior in Developing Countries. *Journal of Human Capital*, 2(1): pp. 69-105.

- Horgan, G. 2007. The Impact of Poverty on Young Children's Experience of School.
- Institute of Public Policy (2012). State of the Economy: The Punjab Story. Beaconhouse National University, Lahore.
- Jamal, Haroon, 2011. Districts' Indices of Multiple Deprivations for Pakistan, 2011. Social Policy and Development Center (SPDC), Research Report No. 82.
- Jamal, Haroon, 2013. Predicting Sub-National Poverty: Incidence for Pakistan. Social Policy and Development Center (SPDC), Research Report No. 85.
- Jayachandran, Usha, 2002. Socio-economic Determinants of School Attendance in India. *Centre for Development Economics*, Working Paper No. 103.
- Kambhampati, U.S. and Rajan, R. 2006. Economic Growth: A Panacea for Child Labor? World Development 34 (3): pp. 426-255.
- Kim, J. and Lau, L. J. 1995. The Role of Human Capital in the Economic Growth of the East Asian Newly Industrialized Countries. *Asia-Pacific Economic Review*, 1:3: pp. 3-22.
- Kingdon, Geeta and Theopold, Nicolas. 2008. *Do Returns to Education Matter to Schooling Participation?* Global Poverty Research Group and Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC). GPRG-WPS-052.
- Kruger, Alan. 1999. Experimental estimates of education production functions. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXIV: pp. 497-532.
- Malik, Allah Bakhsh. 2011. Policy Analysis of Education in Punjab Province. UNESCO Islamabad (Pakistan).
- Mankiw, Gregory, Romer, David, and Weil, D. 1992. A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(2): pp. 402–437.
- Namora, Sailiou and Roushdy, Rania. 2008. Intrahousehold Resource Allocation in Egypt: Women Empowerment and Investment in Children. Middle East Development Journal.
- Nehru, V., Swanson, E., & Dubey, A. 1995. A New Database on Human Capital Stocks in Developing and Industrial Countries: Sources Methodology and Results. *Journal of Development Economics*, 46(2): pp. 379-401.
- Psacharopoulos, George. 1997. Child Labor versus Educational Attainment: Some Evidence from Latin America. *Journal of Population Economics*, 10 (4): pp. 377-86.
- PunBS. 2014. Punjab Development Statistics 2013. *Punjab Bureau of Statistics,* Government of Punjab.
- Ravallion, Martin and Wodon, Quentin. 2000. Does Child Labor Displace Schooling? Evidence on Behavioural Responses to an Enrolment Subsidy. *The Economic Journal*, 110: pp. 158-175.
- Romer, P.M. 1990. Human Capital and Growth: Theory and Evidence. Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, 32, 251–286.
- Schultz, T.P. 1993. Returns to Women's Education'. In E. King and M. Hill (eds.), Women's Education in Developing Countries, Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Press for the World Bank.
- SPDC, 2014. *The State of Social Development in Rural Pakistan*. Annual Review of Social Policy and Development Center.

- Stevens, Philip and Weale, Martin. 2003. *Education and Economic Growth*. National Institute of Economic and Social Research.
- Strohschein, L. A., 2005. Household Income Histories and Child Mental Health Trajectories. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 46: pp. 359-375.
- Strohschein, L. A., 2005. Household income histories and child mental health trajectories. Journal of Health and Social Behavior 46, 359-375.
- Tansel, Aysit, 2003. Determinants of School Attainment of Boys and Girls in Turkey: Individual, Household and Community Factors. *Economics of Education Review*, 21 (5): pp. 455-70.
- Institute for Statistics. 1996. UIS Methodology for Estimation of Mean Years of Schooling. UNESCO.
- UNDP, 2014. Human Development Report 2014: Sustaining Human Progress; Reducing Vulnerabilities and Building Resilience. The Oxford University Process, United Nations Development Programme, United Nations.
- UNESCO. 2004. EFA Global Monitoring Report 2005: Education for All; the Quality Imperative. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Vos, Rob and Ponce, Juan. 2004. Meeting the millennium development goal in education: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Ecuador. Institute of Social Studies, Working Paper Series, No. 402.
- Wahba, Jackline. 2006. The Influence of Market Wages and Parental History on Child Labor and Schooling in Egypt. *Journal of Population Economics*, 19 (1): pp. 823-52.

WEF. 2007. The Gender Gap Report 2006. Prepared by World Economic Forum.

WEF. 2014. The Human Capital Report. Prepared by *World Economic Forum* in collaboration with Mercer.