Issue Brief # IB-23-2024

July 1st, 2024

Revamping Corporate Governance: Essential Reforms for Successful Privatization in Pakistan

Dr. Suleman Aziz Lodhi

Consultant BIPP, Dean/Professor University of South Asia

Revamping Corporate Governance: Essential Reforms for Successful Privatization in Pakistan

By

Dr. Suleman Aziz Lodhi

In a rapidly evolving global economic landscape, the need for effective corporate governance has never been more critical. As Pakistan grapples with economic challenges, the privatization of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) has emerged as a key strategy to enhance efficiency, attract investment, and reduce the fiscal burden on the government. However, for privatization to yield the desired outcomes, it is imperative that thorough research studies are conducted to explore and implement restructuring of the corporate governance framework. Performance of corporate sector is also highly dependent on the corporate regulatory system that regulates its. Organizations working in a better legal framework are able to add more value in the environment and give higher returns to its stockholders. The global Foreign Direct Investments (FDI) similarly would follow towards organizations operating under better corporate regulatory framework.

The number of corporate governance codes operating worldwide is extensive, with each country typically having its own set of guidelines or regulations. Some of these codes are updated periodically to reflect changes in the business environment, regulatory requirements, and best practices. Contribution of regulatory framework towards increasing investor trust and attracting FDI in countries like Germany, Japan, UK is reflected in the performance of their stock market statistics.

Corporate governance in Pakistan is governed by the Code of Corporate Governance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP). This code aims to ensure transparency, accountability, and efficient management in listed companies. Key features include:

- **Board of Directors**: A single-tier system with a mix of executive, non-executive, and independent directors.
- **Committees**: Establishment of Audit, HR and Remuneration, and Nomination Committees.
- **Financial Reporting and Disclosure**: Mandates timely and accurate financial disclosure.
- **Risk Management and Internal Controls**: Requires a framework for risk management and internal auditing.

While these guidelines are foundational, their implementation has often been inconsistent, leading to governance issues that hamper their performance. The pressing need for privatization presents an opportunity to re-evaluate and potentially overhaul the existing corporate governance structure through comprehensive research studies.

Lessons from Germany: Enhancing Corporate Governance in Pakistan

To understand what constitutes an effective governance framework, it is instructive to compare Pakistan's model with that of Germany, known for its robust corporate governance system.

- **Board Structure**: Germany utilizes a two-tier board system comprising a Management Board (Vorstand) responsible for day-to-day operations and a Supervisory Board (Aufsichtsrat) that oversees and advises the Management Board. In contrast, Pakistan operates under a single-tier board system where the Board of Directors combines management and oversight functions.
- **Board Composition and Independence**: The Supervisory Board in Germany includes employee representatives, ensuring broader stakeholder representation. At least one-third of the members must be independent. Pakistan requires at least one-third of the board to be independent directors but lacks mandatory employee representation.
- **Separation of Roles**: Germany clearly separates the roles of Chairman (Supervisory Board) and CEO (Management Board), ensuring a balance of power. While Pakistan emphasizes the separation of these roles, practical enforcement is often weak.
- **Committees**: Both countries require key committees such as Audit, Nomination, and Remuneration Committees, but Germany's committees tend to be more effective due to stringent implementation.
- **Financial Reporting and Disclosure**: Germany enforces strict financial reporting and disclosure standards aligning with international norms, whereas Pakistan mandates accurate financial reporting but struggles with transparency issues.
- **Risk Management and Internal Controls**: Germany has strong risk management frameworks and internal controls integral to governance, whereas Pakistan often lacks comprehensive implementation.

Germany’s corporate governance model provides several insights that could be beneficial for Pakistan, particularly in the context of privatizing SOEs:

- **Enhanced Oversight**: The two-tier system in Germany, with a clear separation between management and oversight, ensures robust accountability and reduces conflicts of interest. Implementing a similar system in Pakistan could enhance the effectiveness of governance.
- **Stakeholder Inclusion**: Including employee representatives on boards, as seen in Germany, ensures diverse perspectives and can lead to more balanced and sustainable decision-making.

- **Independence and Expertise**: Strengthening the independence of board members and including external experts from academia and independent research bodies can provide unbiased oversight and bring in new perspectives and skills.
- **Robust Risk Management and Transparency**: Adopting stringent risk management practices and enhancing transparency in financial reporting would build investor confidence and ensure long-term stability.

The Path Forward for Pakistan: Research and Reform

Before embarking on large-scale privatization, it is crucial that the government of Pakistan conducts comprehensive research to determine the most suitable corporate governance structure for privatized entities. These studies must explore the necessary structural reforms and provide evidence-based recommendations to ensure successful outcomes. The existing governance framework needs significant reforms to avoid repeating past failures.

Privatization, while beneficial for improving efficiency and reducing fiscal burdens, can pose significant risks to employees if conducted under a flawed corporate governance framework. The key risks would include:

- **Job Security**: Privatized entities may prioritize cost-cutting measures to enhance profitability, leading to extensive layoffs and restructuring.
- **Working Conditions**: Inadequate governance structures can result in deteriorating working conditions post-privatization.
- **Employee Representation and Voice**: The absence of employee representation limits workers' ability to influence decisions affecting their employment and working conditions.
- **Skills Development and Career Progression**: Effective corporate governance promotes continuous skills development and career progression.
- **Compliance with Labor Laws**: Weak governance can lead to non-compliance with labor laws and regulations.

Conclusion

Moving on with privatization agenda, in its present structure might not be a panacea for the issues plaguing Pakistan’s state-owned enterprises. Without substantial reforms in corporate governance, privatization may only lead to further inefficiencies and failures. By learning from successful models like Germany’s and conducting thorough research to tailor these lessons to the Pakistani context, the government can create a conducive environment for privatization that ensures sustainable growth, attracts investment, and ultimately benefits the economy and the people of Pakistan. The time window for decisive action and informed policy-making is not unlimited. Moreover, protecting the workforce during this transition is crucial. Enhancing the corporate governance framework to include employee representation, enforce labor laws, and ensure continuous skills development will mitigate risks for employees and contribute to the long-term success of privatized entities.

The government must prioritize these reforms to ensure that privatization is a solution to Pakistan’s economic challenges rather than a source of new problems. Conducting in-depth research studies on restructuring corporate governance is a necessary step before moving forward with the privatization agenda.

Bibliography

1. Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) – Code of Corporate Governance
2. German Corporate Governance Code (Deutscher Corporate Governance Kodex)
3. OECD Principles of Corporate Governance
4. OECD: Case studies on successful privatizations and their governance frameworks.
5. Various academic and industry reports on corporate governance practices and their impact on organizational performance.